



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

212

**CRM-M-27997-2025 (O&M)
Date of Decision:- 29.05.2025**

AMANDEEP SINGH

...Petitioner(s)

Versus

STATE OF PUNJAB

...Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJIV BERRY

Present : Mr. Harkaran Singh, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. K.D. Sachdeva, DAG Punjab.

SANJIV BERRY, J. (ORAL)

1. Status report dated 28.05.2025 filed in the form of an affidavit of Deputy Superintendent of Police, Sri Anandpur Sahib, District Rupnagar, is taken on record. Copy thereof been supplied to learned counsel for the petitioner.

2. Arguments heard.

3. By way of present petition filed under Section 482 Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023, petitioner seeks anticipatory bail in case FIR as under:

FIR No.	Dated	Sections	Police Station
02	06.01.2025	115(2), 118(1), 351(3), 3(5) BNS	Kiratpur Sahib, District Rupnagar

4. It is *inter alia* contended by learned counsel for the petitioner



that the petitioner is innocent and has been falsely implicated in the case. He contends that the petitioner is not having any other criminal antecedents and no specific overt act is attributed to him. He submits that the petitioner is ready to join investigation and has prayed for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

5. *Per contra*, learned State counsel while referring to the status report submitted by State has opposed the petition on the ground that the petitioner in connivance with other co-accused had beaten the mother of the complainant and also gave knife blows to the complainant. Hence, the custodial interrogation of the petitioner is required to recover the weapon and prayed for dismissal of the bail petition.

6. After considering the rival contentions and perusing the record, it transpires that the present FIR was registered on the statement of Lakhwinder Singh to the effect that on 22.12.2024, the mother of the complainant went to the house of co-accused Cheena to ask about the motorcycle of her son, upon which co-accused Cheena along with his brothers Shoki, and Amandeep Singh (petitioner herein) gave beatings to her. When the complainant came to the spot to rescue his mother, then co-accused Cheena caught hold of the complainant and the petitioner gave knife blow which hit on the finger of his left hand and other blow hit on his cheek.

7. A perusal of the record reveals that there is specific allegation against the petitioner of having caused injuries on the cheek and left hand finger of the complainant with a sharp-edged weapon and the recovery of the weapon is yet to be effected, for which the custodial interrogation of the



petitioner is required.

8. Therefore, considering the nature and gravity of offence, it is observed that no case is made out in favour of the petitioner for grant of anticipatory bail, as a consequence, the petition is hereby dismissed.

9. Any observation made above shall not be construed as opinion of this Court on the merits of the case.

(SANJIV BERRY)
JUDGE

29.05.2025

S.Sharma(syr)

i)	Whether speaking/reasoned?	Yes/No
ii)	Whether reportable?	Yes/No