



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

219

FAO-M-257-2018 (O&M)

Date of Decision: 27.05.2025

Pinki @ Loveleen Kaur

...Appellant

Versus

Harpreet Singh

...Respondent

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KSHETARPAL
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS SURI**

Present:- None.

ANIL KSHETARPAL, J. (ORAL)

1. On 16.05.2023, following order was passed:-

“This appeal has been filed by the appellant-wife challenging judgement dated 25.07.2018 passed by learned Additional District Judge, Ludhiana whereby petition under Section 13 of Hindu Marriage Act filed by the respondent-husband has been allowed.

It was informed on the last date of hearing that the respondent-husband has passed away. Learned counsel for the appellant had sought time to seek instructions. Today none appears on behalf of appellant.

In the interest of justice, adjourned to 29.05.2023.

In case there is no representation on behalf of the appellant and clear cut instructions are not available with the counsel, this appeal may be dismissed in default/for non-prosecution.”

2. Thereafter, the case was taken up on 04.10.2023 and on the said date, following order was passed:-



“Learned counsel for the appellant seeks time to implead legal representatives of the deceased/respondent.

Learned counsel further submits that cause of action still survives in favour of the appellant as the petition filed under Section 13 of the Hindu Marriage Act was decreed by the District Judge, Ludhiana on 25.07.2018.

List on 11.01.2024.”

3. On 15.07.2024, the parties were not represented, however, In the interest of justice, hearing of the case was adjourned for today. Today again, the position is not different.

4. Dismissed for non-prosecution.

(ANIL KSHETARPAL)
JUDGE

(VIKAS SURI)
JUDGE

May 27, 2025
harish

Whether speaking/reasoned Yes/No

Whether reportable Yes/No