



264

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-55114-2024
Date of Decision: **08.01.2025**

Rabab Abid Ali Sayed @ Rabab

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARPREET SINGH BRAR**Present:** Mr. Sunny K. Singla, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Rishabh Singla, AAG, Punjab.

HARPREET SINGH BRAR, J. (ORAL)

Learned counsel for the petitioner seeks to withdraw this petition and he confines his prayer to the extent of seeking exemption of the petitioner from personal appearance before the learned trial Court.

He submits that petitioner is a household lady and mother of a child. She is resident of Maharashtra whereas the trial of the FIR (supra) is progressing at Jalandhar and attending the Court proceedings on each and every date would cause great hardship to the petitioner. The petitioner is already on bail and she is ready to abide by the terms and conditions imposed by the trial Court, in case, her personal appearance is exempted. She undertakes to appear as and when required by the learned trial Court.

Accordingly, the instant petition is dismissed as withdrawn. However, in view of the ratio laid down by this court in CRM-M-25963-2023 titled as '**Suresh Kumar and another Vs. The State of Haryana and another**' 2023 (2) Law Herald 1498, the personal appearance of the petitioner before the

learned trial Court is ordered to be exempted, subject to the following conditions:-

- i) petitioner shall be represented through her counsel;
- ii) shall not delay/stall the trial proceedings;
- iii) shall not dispute her identity as accused;
- iv) shall have no objection if the prosecution evidence is recorded in her absence but in the presence of her counsel;
- v) shall appear before the trial Court as and when required;
and
- vi) any other condition, which the trial Court may impose.

08.01.2025

Parveen kumar

**(HARPREET SINGH BRAR)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned :Yes/No
Whether reportable :Yes/No