

2025:PHHC:017476



**IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND
HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH**

140

CRM-M-6056-2025 (O&M)
Date of decision: 06.02.2025

Jagdev Singh**...Petitioner**

Versus

State of Punjab and another**...Respondents****CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MANISHA BATRA**

Present:- Mr. Rajan Singh Dadwal, Advocate
for the petitioner.

MANISHA BATRA, J. (Oral)

1. The instant petition has been filed by the petitioner under Section 528 of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 (*for short 'BNSS'*) for quashing of order dated 01.08.2024 (Annexure P-9), passed by the Court of learned Judicial Magistrate First Class, Ludhiana in case titled as ***State vs. Gurbinder Singh etc.***, arising out of FIR No. 134 dated 20.08.2020, registered under Sections 406 and 420 of IPC at Police Station Dakha, District Ludhiana, whereby the petitioner had been declared a proclaimed person.

2. The present petition has been filed by the petitioner on the grounds and it has been argued by his counsel that the petitioner has been falsely implicated in the aforementioned complaint. The petitioner was not aware about pendency of the aforementioned case against him as he was never served with any notice/warrants issued by the learned trial Court. More so, the petitioner had been declared a proclaimed person without following the proper procedure prescribed under Section 82 of Cr.P.C. Hence, it is urged that the impugned order is liable to be set aside.

2025:PHHC:017476



3. I have heard learned counsel for the petitioner at considerable length and have also gone through the material placed on record.

4. On giving due deliberations to the contentions as raised by learned counsel for the parties and on an overall perusal of the orders passed by the learned trial Court from the date of initiating proceedings under Section 82 of Cr.P.C. as against the petitioner till the date of declaring him a proclaimed person, I am of the considered opinion that the impugned order dated 01.08.2024 suffers from some infirmities and is liable to be quashed with all the consequential proceedings arising therefrom.

5. After going through the material placed on record as well as the copies of zimni orders passed by the learned trial Court, it is revealed that on 01.08.2024, since the non-bailable warrants issued against the petitioner were received back unserved, the learned trial Court had ordered for issuance of proclamation against him for 06.11.2023. Since the earlier proclamations issued against the petitioner were not received back, fresh proclamation was issued against him for 31.05.2024, which was executed only on 27.04.2024. However, a perusal of the statement of the serving police official reveals that the proclamation was not read over in some conspicuous place of the town or village in which the petitioner was supposed to be residing. As per Section 82 (2) of Cr.P.C. for publication, the proclamation has to be first publicly read in some conspicuous place of the town or village in which the accused ordinarily resides; then the same has to be affixed to some conspicuous part of the house or homestead in which the accused ordinarily resides or to some conspicuous place of such town or village and thereafter a copy of the proclamation has to be affixed to some conspicuous part of the Court-house. The three sub-clauses

2025:PHHC:017476



(a)-(c) in Section 82 (2)(i) of the Cr.P.C. are conjunctive and not disjunctive, which means that there would be no valid publication of the proclamation unless all the three modes of publication are proved. Reliance in this regard can be placed upon *Pawan Kumar Gupta Vs. The State of W.B. : 1973 CriLJ 1368*.

6. Accordingly, in view of the discussion as made above and also in view of the ratio of law as laid down in above cited authorities, the present petition is allowed and the impugned order dated 01.08.2024 (Annexure P-9), passed by the Court of learned Judicial Magistrate First Class, Ludhiana in case titled as *State vs. Gurbinder Singh etc.*, arising out of FIR No. 134 dated 20.08.2020, registered under Sections 406 and 420 of IPC at Police Station Dakha, District Ludhiana, whereby the petitioner had been declared a proclaimed person, is quashed with all consequential proceedings arising therefrom.

7. However, the petitioner is directed to surrender before the Court concerned within a period of two weeks, subject to order for grant of anticipatory bail, if any passed on his petition to be filed under Section 482 of BNSS. In the absence of any order for grant of anticipatory bail and on such surrender, the petitioner shall be liable to be remanded to judicial custody subject to any order for grant of regular bail to be passed by the concerned Court in accordance with law.

8. Needless to observe that in case any application is filed before the concerned Court for grant of regular bail, then the concerned Court shall be bound to dispose of the same expeditiously and that nothing in this order

2025:PHHC:017476



shall be treated as expression of any opinion on merits so as to bind or influence the concerned Court in disposal of the same.

9. Till the appearance of the petitioner before the learned trial Court, his arrest shall remain stayed.

10. It is made clear that in case the petitioner fails to appear before the learned trial Court within a period of four weeks from today, this petition shall be deemed to be dismissed.

06.02.2025

Waseem Ansari

**(MANISHA BATRA)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes/No

Whether reportable

Yes/No