

2025:PHHC:130984



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

284

CRM-M-10233-2025

Date of decision: 22.09.2025

PARTAPNATH ALIAS PRATAP AND ORS

... PETITIONER(S)

VERSUS

STATE OF PUNJAB AND ORS

...RESPONDENT(S)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASJIT SINGH BEDI

Present: None for the petitioners.

Mr. M.S. Toor, AAG Punjab.

JASJIT SINGH BEDI, J. (Oral)

Prayer in the present petition under Section 528 of BNSS is for quashing of FIR No.0112 dated 30.11.2023 under Sections 341, 323, 506, 148 and 149 of IPC registered at Police Station Sadar Kapurthala, district Kapurthala (Annexure P-1) and subsequent proceeding arising therefrom on the basis of compromise deed dated 02.01.2025 (Annexure P-2).

On 21.02.2025, the following order was passed:-

“This is a petition under Section 28 BNNS praying for quashing of FIR bearing No. 0112 dated 30.11.2023 u/s 341,323,506,148,149 of IPC 1860 registered at police station Sadar Kapurthala, District Kapurthala (Annexure P-1) and subsequent proceeding arising therefrom on the basis of compromise deed dated 02.01.2025 (Annexure P-2).

Notice of motion.

On the asking of the Court, learned State Counsel accepts notice on behalf of respondent No.1-State.

Ms. Vaishali Kamboj, Advocate has put in appearance on

behalf of respondent No.2 and has filed Power of Attorney and has filed MOP on behalf of respondent No. 3. She admits the factum of compromise entered into between the parties.

List on 26.03.2025.

In the meantime, the parties are directed to appear before the Illaqa Magistrate/trial Court within a period of two weeks from today, for recording of their statements with regard to the compromise.

The trial Court is directed to submit a report on or before the next date of hearing containing the following information:-

- (i) Number of persons arrayed as accused in the FIR;*
- (ii) Whether any accused is declared as proclaimed offender?*
- (iii) Whether the compromise is genuine, voluntary and without any coercion or undue influence?*
- (iv) Whether the accused persons are involved in any other FIR or not?"*

The matter was adjourned from time to time and none has appeared for the petitioner.

As per the report dated 12.03.2025, none of the parties have appeared before the Court to record their statements in support of the compromise.

In view of the above, I find no merit in the present petition and the same stands dismissed.

All the pending miscellaneous applications, if any, stand disposed of.

(JASJIT SINGH BEDI)
JUDGE

22.09.2025

Kusum

<i>Whether speaking/reasoned</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>
<i>Whether Reportable</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>