

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH**

**C.O.C.P. No.1371 of 2014  
Date of Decision: 03.03.2017**

Jaslin Bhalla

..... Petitioner

*Versus*

K. Makrand Pandu Ranga,  
Deputy Commissioner, Ambala City and another

..... Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASWANT SINGH**

Present: Mr. Madan Sandhu, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Sidharth Sanwaria, Deputy Advocate General, Haryana  
for respondent No.1.

Mr. Deepak Manchanda, Advocate for respondent No.2.

\*\*\*\*

**JASWANT SINGH, J. (Oral)**

The petitioner is a resident of Kanshi Nagar, Model Town, Ambala City and has a Jeweller's Shop in Kartar Nagar, Model Town, Ambala City. He was aggrieved against the owners of House No.117/87-A, B-9, Model Town, Ambala City, undertaking commercial activities by construction of shops in a residential area, as also having established a Mobile Tower on the roof, endangering the lives of the residents of the area. Since his complaints to the Municipal Corporation, Ambala did not bear any fruit, he filed CWP No.18826 of 2013, which was disposed of by this Court vide order dated 29.08.2013 (**Annexure P-1**), directing the authorities to pass a speaking order. Since the needful was not done, hence the present contempt petition.

Upon notice, learned Counsel for respondent No.2/Municipal Corporation, Ambala has filed an affidavit dated 02.03.2017 of Sh. Gagandeep Singh, Joint Commissioner, Municipal Corporation, Ambala, which is taken on record. Along with the reply, receipt regarding payment of costs of Rs.10,000/-, imposed vide order dated 22.12.2016, has been filed, which is also taken on record. Copy of the reply has been furnished to learned opposite Counsel.

In the reply, it is submitted that after due inspection, notices under Section 262 of the Haryana Municipal Corporation Act were issued to the owners, who had undertaken the construction activities, including the establishment of the Mobile Tower, without getting their plans sanctioned. The said owners are stated to have approached the Civil Courts by filing civil suits, wherein maintenance of “*status quo*” has been ordered.

Learned Counsel for the respondents submit that the directions passed by this Court have been complied with in letter and spirit.

In view of the above, no further action is warranted.

Accordingly, the present contempt petition stands dismissed.

**March 03, 2017**

Gagan

**(JASWANT SINGH)**  
**JUDGE**

<i>Whether speaking/reasoned</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>
<i>Whether Reportable</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>