

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

2025:PHHC:077029



107

CRWP-6443-2025

Date of Decision: 01.07.2025.

Golti Kaur and another

....Petitioners.

Versus

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents.

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE SUKHVINDER KAUR

.....

Present: Mr. Rahul Singh, Advocate for the petitioners.

Ms. Gagandeep Kaur, DAG, Punjab.

Mr. Abhishek Kathpal, Advocate for
Mr. Dhawaljeet Dutta, Advocate
for respondents No.7 to 9.

SUKHVINDER KAUR, J. (Oral)

Prayer in the instant petition filed under Articles 226/ 227 of the Constitution of India, is for issuance of directions to respondents No.1 to 3 to provide protection of life and liberty to the petitioners, who have married against the wishes of private respondents No.4 to 9.

Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that both the petitioners are major, petitioner No.1 – Golti Kaur, aged 21 years and petitioner No.2 – Pawan Kumar, aged 24 years and have got married on 13.06.2025, against the wishes of their family members, arrayed as respondents No.4 to 9. The copies of 'Aadhaar card' of petitioners (Annexures P-1 and P-3) have been placed on record. Marriage certificate as well as the marriage photographs have also been placed on record as Annexure P-5 (colly).

It has been further submitted that the private respondents are threatening to interfere in the marital life of the petitioners. Hence, they are seeking protection in that regard and have approached this Court by way of filing the instant petition.

Notice of motion.

On the asking of the Court Ms. Gagandeep Kaur, DAG, Punjab accepts notice on behalf of the respondents-State.

In view of the limited prayer made by the petitioners, the petition is disposed off with a direction to respondent No.2 to look into the representation dated 13.06.2025 (Annexure P-6) at the earliest and take necessary steps, if any required, in accordance with law, to ensure that the life and liberty of the petitioners is not jeopardized at the hands of the private respondents. However, this direction will not validate the marriage said to have taken place between the parties and will have no effect on any civil or criminal action, which could be initiated in the matter in accordance with law.

(SUKHVINDER KAUR)
JUDGE

01.07.2025

Komal

Whether speaking/reasoned? : Yes/ No
Whether reportable? : Yes/ No