



CWP-31130-2025

-1-

111

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP-31130-2024

Date of Decision : 23.05.2025

RAJENDER PARSAD JAIN CONTRACTOR

.....Petitioner

VERSUS**STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS**

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDEEP TIWARI

Present : Ms. Veena Hooda, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Bhupender Singh, DAG, Haryana.

KULDEEP TIWARI, J.(Oral)

1. This Court vide order dated 05.03.2025, had passed the hereinafter extracted order:-

“3. The gravamen of the lis, as encapsulated in this writ petition, is centered upon the indolent and lackadaisical approach of the authority(ies) concerned inasmuch as despite repeated requests and lapse of considerable time, neither the payment of the final bill has been made to the petitioner, nor any decision has been made on petitioner’s legal notice, which is enclosed as Annexures P-4 in this writ petition. It would be apt to record here that, it is the petitioner’s case that, the payments of operation and maintenance per year, except payment of final bill, have been received.

4. During the course of arguments, the learned counsel for the petitioner fairly submits that, at this stage, the petitioner would be satisfied in case a direction is passed upon the respondent(s) concerned to decide the legal notice (supra) in a time bound manner.

5. Notice of motion.

6. Mr. Rajesh Gaur, Addl. A.G., Haryana, waives service of notice on behalf of the respondents. He submits that, there is no objection in case a direction is passed upon the respondent(s) concerned to decide the legal notice (supra) in a time bound manner.

7. Without evincing any opinion as regards the merits of this writ petition, or, as regards the bill being admitted/sanctioned, this Court deems it appropriate to direct the competent authority, amongst the respondents, to make final decision on the legal notice (supra) within



CWP-31130-2025

-2-

six weeks from today, and, in case any payment is found outstanding, the same shall forthwith be released in favour of the petitioner. Moreover, a copy of the decision so taken, shall be placed on record on the subsequent date of hearing.

8. It is made clear that, in case of non-compliance of the direction (*supra*), the competent authority shall cause personal appearance before this Court on the subsequent date of hearing.

9. List on 29.04.2025, in the urgent list.

10. It is made clear that, on the subsequent date of hearing, no request for adjournment would be entertained on behalf of either side. ”

2. Upon not complying with the aforesaid directions, vide order dated 29.04.2025, bailable warrants were issued against Mr. Bhanu Pratap Sharma, Executive Engineer, Public Health Engineering, Sub Division No.1, who has today caused appearance before this Court, and has tendered unconditional apology for not complying with the the order (*supra*), which is accepted by this Court.

3. Learned State counsel, informs this Court that the Executive Engineer concerned, has passed a speaking order on dated 09.05.2025, and has placed on record a copy thereof. The same is ordered to be taken on record as Annexure 'A'.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that in view of the order (*supra*), the grievance of the present petitioner has already been redressed, therefore, he does not want to press the instant petition.

5. In view of the aforesaid, the instant petition stands, **dismissed** as not pressed.

May 23, 2025
dharamvir

(KULDEEP TIWARI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned. : Yes/No
Whether Reportable. : Yes/No