



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH**

115

LPA-1805-2025 (O&amp;M)

Date of Decision: 22.08.2025

Jaswinder Singh

...Appellant

Versus

Union of India and others

...Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI  
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS SURI**

Present:- Mr. Balwinder Singh, Advocate, for the appellant.

\*\*\*\*\*

**HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI, J. (ORAL)**

1. Learned counsel for the appellant submits that he is not contesting the appeal on merits but Rs.50,000/- which was deposited by him in terms of order dated 19.09.2023 passed in CWP-11742 of 2023, be refunded. Learned counsel submits that the forfeiture of the total amount should not have been done and at the most, Rs.10,000/- could have been forfeited and the remaining Rs.40,000/- should have been released back to the appellant.

2. Notice of motion.

3. Mr. T.P.S. Chawla, Sr. DAG, Punjab and Ms. Divya Godara, Advocate, appear on behalf of the State and respondent No.6 respectively and submit that they have no objection to the said plea.

4. Keeping in view the totality as the appellant has not been pressed the present appeal on merits, it is directed that out of Rs.50,000/-



deposited, Rs.40,000/- be refunded back to the appellant and remaining Rs.10,000/- be released to the Punjab and Haryana High Court Bar Association to be used in view of the fact that there was a fire incident and the ladies bar room was burnt, so that appropriate facilities to the ladies advocates can be provided.

5. The appeal is disposed of accordingly.
6. Pending application(s), if any, also stand disposed of.

**( HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI )  
JUDGE**

**( VIKAS SURI )  
JUDGE**

**August 22, 2025**

*harish*

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes
Whether reportable	No