



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

278

ARB-556-2024

Date of decision: 10.03.2025

M/S M. C. IMPEX

...APPLICANT

Vs.

STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS

...RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JAGMOHAN BANSAL

Present: Mr. Sanjeev Kumar, Advocate
for the applicant.

Ms. Dimple Jain, DAG, Haryana.

JAGMOHAN BANSAL, J (ORAL)

1. Through instant application under Section 11(6) of the Arbitration and Conciliation Act, 1996 (for short '1996 Act'), the applicant is seeking appointment of an Arbitrator.
2. The parties entered into arbitration agreement dated 08.07.2013. A dispute erupted between the parties. The applicant served notice upon respondent seeking resolution of dispute through arbitral tribunal but to no avail.
3. Ms. Dimple Jain, DAG, Haryana submits that respondent has already made full and final payment to applicant, still it is agitating the issue.
4. Learned State counsel expressed her inability to controvert execution of arbitration agreement and service of notice.
5. Conditions to invoke power conferred by Section 11(6) of 1996 Act stand satisfied, thus, I hereby appoint a sole Arbitrator to adjudicate the dispute between the parties.



ARB-556-2024

-2-

6. Ms. Justice Navita Singh, Former Judge of this Court, residing at Flat No.309, 9th Floor, Jaishree Towers, Swastik Vihar, Mansa Devi Complex, Sector 5, Panchkula, Mobile No. 8558809911 is hereby appointed as a Sole Arbitrator to adjudicate the dispute between the parties, subject to compliance of statutory requirements. The learned Arbitrator is requested to comply with mandate of Section 12 of 1996 Act before proceeding further.

7. Parties are directed to appear before the learned Arbitrator on date, time and place to be fixed by the Arbitrator at her convenience.

8. The Arbitrator shall be paid fee in accordance with the Fourth Schedule of the 1996 Act, as amended.

9. The Arbitrator is requested to complete the proceedings as per time limit specified under Section 29-A of the 1996 Act.

10. Needless to mention, parties would be at liberty to raise all the claims/defences/counter claims/pleas before the Arbitrator. Any observation made hereinabove will not be binding on the learned Arbitrator.

11. A request letter along with copy of this order be sent to Ms. Justice Navita Singh.

10.03.2025
manoj

[JAGMOHAN BANSAL]
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No