



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH**

227

**CRM-M-7502-2025 (O&M)  
Date of Decision: 20.05.2025**

Ashok

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

.....Respondent

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU****Present:** Mr. Baljeet Nain, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Neeraj Sheoran, DAG, Haryana.

\*\*\*\*

**MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU, J.**

Present petition has been filed, under Section 483 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 (for short 'BNSS') for grant of bail pending trial to the petitioner in FIR No. 26 dated 27.01.2022, registered under Sections 452, 307 read with Section 34 of the Indian Penal Code, 1860 (for short 'IPC') and Section 25 of the Arms Act, 1959 [Section 25(6) Arms Act, 1959 added later on], registered at Police Station Nathu Sarai Chopta, District Sirsa.

2. Status report dated 19.05.2025 by way of affidavit of Mr. Sanjeev Kumar, HPS, Deputy Superintendent of Police, Ellenabad has been filed, which is taken on record. Copy supplied to the other side. Registry to tag the same at appropriate place.

3. Learned State counsel has also produced custody certificate dated 04.05.2025, which is taken on record. Registry to tag the same at



appropriate place.

4. Allegations are that petitioner along with co-accused fired shots on the complainant's party with an intention to kill them.

5. Contends that petitioner was not named in the FIR, rather nominated on the basis of disclosure of co-accused-Avinash. Further contends that petitioner is in custody since 15.06.2024; final report under Section 173 Cr.P.C has been presented on 24.09.2024 and charges were framed on 10.12.2024. Again contends that out of total 32 prosecution witnesses, none has been examined till date, thus, trial will take sufficient long time.

6. Learned State counsel, on instructions, is not able to dispute the above factual position.

7. Heard both sides and perused the paper-book.

8. Concededly, petitioner is in custody since 15.06.2024, final report under Section 173 Cr.P.C has been presented on 24.09.2024 and charges were framed on 10.12.2024. Further contends that out of total 32 prosecution witnesses, none has been examined till date, thus, the trial will take sufficient long time; hence, further incarceration of the petitioner would not serve any purpose.

9. Consequently, present petition is allowed; petitioner shall be admitted to bail on furnishing bail/surety bonds to the satisfaction of learned trial Court/Chief Judicial Magistrate/Duty Magistrate concerned.

10. Petitioner shall appear on each & every date of hearing and fully co-operate with the learned trial Court without seeking any unnecessary adjournment(s).

11. The above observations be not construed as an expression of opinion on the merits of the case.



12. It is clarified that in case there is recurrence or any misuse of concession of bail on the part of the petitioner, State would be at liberty to move an appropriate application for recalling of this order.

Pending application(s), if any, shall also stand disposed off.

**20.05.2025**

Harish Kumar

**(MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU)  
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned  
Whether reportable

Yes/No  
Yes/No