



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT  
CHANDIGARH**

**(109)**

**CRWP-10503-2025**

**Date of Decision: 26.09.2025**

RAJNI BALA

.....Petitioner

Versus

STATE OF PUNJAB AND OTHERS

.....Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE KIRTI SINGH**

Present: Mr. Rajan Jaswal, Advocate for  
Mr. Raman Kumar, Advocate  
for the petitioner.

\*\*\*\*

**KIRTI SINGH, J. (ORAL)**

1. This petition has been filed under Article 226/227 of the Constitution of India for the issuance of a writ in the nature of mandamus for directing respondent No.2 to protect the life and liberty of the petitioner and directing respondent Nos.3 and 4 not to harass the petitioner, and for taking strict legal action against respondent No.5 for his illegal activities and further for issuing directions to protect the life and liberty of the petitioner from the hands of respondent No.5. Further for issuance of a writ in the nature of mandamus for directing respondent No.2 to consider and decide the representation dated 13.08.2025 (Annexure P-3) moved by the petitioner, in accordance with law and within a time bound manner.

2. At the very outset, learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that the petitioner has filed the representation dated 13.08.2025 (Annexure P-3) before respondent No.2 raising her grievances as mentioned in the present petition but the same has not yet been considered and no



action has been taken thereupon. He further submits that at this stage, the petitioner would be satisfied if, appropriate directions are issued to the respondent concerned to consider and decide the aforesaid representation filed by the petitioner and take necessary action thereupon.

3. Notice of motion to the official respondents at this stage only.
4. At the asking of the Court, Mr. Gurpartap Singh Bhullar, Asst. A.G. Punjab accepts notice on behalf of respondents No. 1 to 4-State and submits that the needful will be done in due course. Let a complete set of the paper-book be supplied to him during the course of the day.
5. In view of the limited prayer made by the petitioner and without commenting on the merits of the case, the present petition is disposed of at this stage with a direction to respondent No.2 to consider and decide the representation (Annexure P-3) of the petitioner expeditiously and within a time bound manner, by passing a speaking order in accordance with law.
6. Pending miscellaneous application(s), if any, also stands disposed of.

**(KIRTI SINGH)**  
**JUDGE**

**September 26, 2025**  
Ithlesh

**Whether speaking/reasoned** : **Yes/No**  
**Whether reportable** : **Yes/No**