



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-8702-2025(O&M)

Date of decision: 05.03.2025

Sourav Tyagi

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU

Present: Mr. Shalender Singh, Advocate with
Ms. Priya Bhati, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Kiran Pal Singh, AAG, Haryana.

MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU, J.

Present petition has been filed under Section 528 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Surkasha Sanhita, 2023, for quashing of FIR No. 235 dated 15.03.2024 (P-1), under Sections 323, 452, 506 read with Section 34 of Indian Penal Code, 1860, registered at Police Station Yamunanagar City, District Yamunanagar along with all consequential proceedings arising therefrom *qua* the petitioner.

(2) This Court, while issuing notice of motion on 18.02.2025, passed the following order:-

“Present petition has been filed under Section 528 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Surkasha Sanhita, 2023, for quashing of FIR No. 235 dated 15.03.2024 (P-1), under Sections 323, 452, 506 read with Section 34 of Indian Penal Code, 1860, registered at Police Station Yamunanagar City, District Yamunanagar along with all consequential proceedings arising therefrom qua the petitioner.

After hearing learned counsel for the petitioner and when this Court was not inclined to accept the prayer, learned counsel, on instructions, submits that this petition be disposed off with liberty to



the petitioner to join proceedings before learned Judicial Magistrate First Class, Yamunanagar; but he be protected.

Posted for 05.03.2025.

In the meanwhile, petitioner shall proceedings before learned trial Court and on doing so, he be released on interim bail in the present case till the next date of hearing on furnishing adequate bail and surety bonds subject to its satisfaction.

Be shown in the urgent list.”

(3) Today, it is acknowledged by both sides that in pursuance of the aforesaid order, petitioner did join proceedings before learned Judicial Magistrate First Class, Yamunanagar (for short ‘JMIC’) on 04.03.2025 and *zimni* order in this regard is taken on record as Mark ‘X’.

(4) In view of the above, this petition is disposed off with the observation that petitioner shall continue to appear before learned JMIC without seeking any unwarranted adjournment(s).

(5) Needless to say that petitioner would be at liberty to raise all his plea(s) during trial in accordance with law.

Pending application(s), if any, shall also stand disposed off.

05.03.2025

Harish Kumar

**(MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU)
JUDGE**

<i>Whether speaking/reasoned</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>
<i>Whether Reportable</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>