



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT  
CHANDIGARH

101

CRM-M-32273-2025

Date of decision: 21.07.2025

PARGAT SINGH ALIAS PAPPI

...Petitioner

VERSUS

STATE OF PUNJAB

...Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANDEEP MOUDGIL

Present: Mr. S.S. Sohi, Advocate  
for the petitioner.

Mr. Jastej Singh, Addl. AG, Punjab.

\*\*\*\*

**SANDEEP MOUDGIL, J.(ORAL)****1. Prayer**

This petition has been filed under Section 482 BNS, 2023 for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner in case FIR No.24 dated 23.03.2025 under Sections 115(2), 118(1), 351, 324(4), 191(3), 190 of BNS (Sections 117(2), 118(2) of BNS added later on), registered at Police Station Ghagga, District Patiala, Punjab.

**2. Contentions****On behalf of the petitioner**

Learned counsel for the petitioner has argued that the petitioner has been falsely implicated in the present case and was named in the FIR due to a property dispute pending between the parties. In fact, the complainant along with several other persons had initiated attack on the co-accused persons. In this regard GD No.29 dated 23.03.2025 (Annexure P-3) based on MLR dated 22.03.2025 has already been registered and the said



cross-case is being investigated by the police against the Complainant party. Learned counsel further submits that the anticipatory bail application filed before the learned Additional Sessions Judge, Patiala was dismissed vide order dated 21.02.2025 (Annexure P-2).

Learned counsel for the petitioner undertakes that the petitioner is ready and willing to join the investigation and cooperate with the investigating officer.

Notice of motion.

**On behalf of the State**

Mr. Jastej Singh, Addl. AG, Punjab appearing on advance notice on instructions from Investigating officer vehemently opposes the prayer for grant of concession of anticipatory bail stating that the petitioner has actively participated in incident in question and the complainant had received serious injuries on his person and the weapons used in the occurrence is yet to be recovered.

3. **Analysis**

Be that as it may, after given a thoughtful consideration to the submissions as made, by the counsel for both the parties it is found that it is a cross-case and petitioner is implicated due to ongoing property dispute between the parties and the matter is under investigation and State has failed to make out the case against the petitioner as to why his custodial interrogation is required. Hence, this Court finds no reason to deny the petitioner the concession of anticipatory bail, wherein the petitioner has bona fide intentions and is willing to join the investigation and cooperate for furtherance of the same so that the final report can be submitted by the Investigating Agency within the stipulated time period.



4. **Relief**

Hence, in view of the admitted set of circumstances before this Court, the petitioner is hereby directed to be released on anticipatory bail subject to him joining investigation and reporting to the Investigating Officer concerned within a period of one week from today, on furnishing of personal/surety bonds to the satisfaction of Arresting/Investigating Officer. The petitioner shall also abide by the terms and conditions as envisaged under Section 482(2) of BNSS of which are reproduced below :-

*'When the High Court or the Court of Session makes a direction under sub-section (1), it may include such conditions in such directions in the light of the facts of the particular case, as it may think fit, including-*

*(i) a condition that the person shall make himself available for interrogation by a police officer as and when required;*

*(ii) a condition that the person shall not, directly or indirectly, make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case so as to dissuade him from disclosing such facts to the Court or to any police officer;*

*(iii) a condition that the person shall not leave India without the previous permission of the Court;*

*(iv) such other condition as may be imposed under sub-section (3) of section 480, as if the bail were granted under that section.'*

However, it is made clear that in case the petitioner does not comply with the aforesaid direction of joining the investigation within one week, the order passed by this Court today shall automatically stand cancelled.

The petition in the aforesaid terms stand allowed.

**(SANDEEP MOUDGIL)**  
**JUDGE**

**21.07.2025**

*Nisha Yadav*

*Whether reasoned/speaking?*

*Yes/No*

*Whether reportable?*

*Yes/No*