



112

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M-12688-2025
Date of decision: 20.03.2025**

KULWINDER KAUR ALIAS KIMNE KAUR AND ANOTHER

...Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

STATE OF PUNJAB AND OTHERS

...Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASGURPREET SINGH PURI

Present:- Mr. Amarpreet S. Bathindewala, Advocate
for the petitioner.

JASGURPREET SINGH PURI, J. (Oral)

1. The present petition has been filed under Section 528 of BNSS, 2023 for deciding/looking into the representation dated 15.02.2025 (Annexure P-2) given by the petitioners and directing respondents No.2 and 3 not to trouble the petitioners unwantedly in FIR No.174 dated 02.11.2024, under Section 137(2) and 96 of BNS, 2023, registered at Police Station Talwandi Sabo, Bathinda, Punjab.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioners submitted that both the petitioners have not been arraigned as accused in any case but they are being harassed by the police and are being called to the Police Station unnecessarily. He further submitted that even the niece of petitioner No.1, who is of the age of 16 years is also being called to the Police Station and the reason for the same is that the nephew of petitioner No.1 had allegedly enticed away a minor girl, who



was living in the neighbourhood and for that reason the police cannot harass the petitioners. He also submitted on instructions that the petitioners undertake to fully cooperate with the inquiry which is being conducted by the police on the basis of aforesaid representation dated 15.02.2025 (Annexure P-2) filed by the petitioners.

3. At this stage, Ms. Seena Sandhu, Addl. A.G., Punjab submitted that she has received an advance copy of the present petition and has also sought instructions in the present case. She submitted on instructions from ASI Gamdoor Singh that the aforesaid minor girl of the age of 16 years, who is stated to be the niece of petitioner No.1 was never called to the Police Station nor will she be called to the Police Station and so far as the petitioners are concerned, for the purpose of deciding the aforesaid representation/complaint which they had given vide Annexure P-2, they were called to the Police Station twice for the purpose of assisting the police in the inquiry and for the purpose of deciding of their representation/complaint but they did not turn up. She also submitted that any date can be fixed by this Court for appearance of the petitioners before the police only for the purpose of deciding the aforesaid representation/complaint (Annexure P-2) so that the same can be considered and decided in accordance with law.

4. After hearing the learned counsel for the parties, this Court is of the considered view that the present petition can be disposed of in view of the statement made by the learned State counsel particularly pertaining to the fact that as per the instructions, the minor girl of the age of 16 years, who is stated to be the niece of petitioner No.1 will not be called to the Police Station for the



purpose of inquiry. So far as the aforesaid representation/complaint (Annexure P-2) is concerned, the petitioners shall appear before the concerned Police Station i.e. Police Station Talwandi Sabo, Bathinda on 04.04.2025 at 10:00 A.M. for the purpose of providing necessary information to the police, if any. Thereupon, the aforesaid representation/ complaint (Annexure P-2) shall be considered and put to its logical conclusion by the concerned Police Officer, within a period of two weeks thereafter.

5. Disposed of accordingly.

(JASGURPREET SINGH PURI)
JUDGE

20.03.2025
Chetan Thakur

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No