



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT  
CHANDIGARH

219

CRM-M-29445-2025

Date of decision: 04.08.2025

MANTEJ SINGH @ MANI

...PETITIONER

V/s

STATE OF PUNJAB AND OTHERS

...RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUMEET GOEL

Present: Mr. Ritesh Pandey, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Jasjit Singh, DAG, Punjab.

Mr. Aazam Khan, Advocate for respondent Nos.2 and 3.

\*\*\*\*\*

**SUMEET GOEL, J.**

1. Present petition has been filed under Section 482 of BNSS, 2023 for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner in case bearing FIR No. 124 dated 07.12.2024, registered for the offences punishable under Sections 109, 324(4), 61(2) of BNS and Sections 25 and 27 of Arms Act, at Police Station Fatehgarh Churian, District Batala.

2. On 27.05.2025, the following order was passed:-

*“Contends, inter alia, that it is a no injury case and moreover, matter has been amicably settled between the parties i.e. petitioner as well as respondent Nos.2 & 3 at their own level.*

*Notice of motion.*

*Ms. Manjot Kaur, learned AAG, Punjab accepts notice on behalf of respondent No.1; seeks time to have instructions and/or to file written response in the matter.*

*Mr. Aazam Khan, Advocate has filed Power of Attorney on behalf of respondent Nos.2 & 3 and which is taken on record. Registry to tag the same at appropriate place.*

*Posted for 04.08.2025.*

*In the meanwhile, petitioner shall join investigation before the Investigating Officer; but he be not arrested till the next date of hearing.”*

3. Learned State counsel, on instructions from Inspector Prabhjot Singh, has stated that pursuant to the order dated 27.05.2025, the petitioner



has joined investigation and is no longer required for custodial interrogation except to effect recovery of the weapons used in the commission of the offence.

Having heard learned counsel for the parties and upon perusal of the record, especially in view of the fact that the petitioner has joined investigation and his custodial interrogation is being sought only for the recovery of the weapon allegedly used in the commission of offence, this Court deems it appropriate to continue the order dated 27.05.2025.

4. In view of above, the present petition is allowed and interim order dated 27.05.2025, passed by this Court is made absolute, subject to the conditions as enumerated under Section 482(2) of BNSS.

5. This order should not be treated as “blanket” order. It will not be read granting petitioner indefinite protection from arrest. It shall be confined to the FIR mentioned *ibid* and will not operate in respect of any other incident that involves commission of an offence.

6. Liberty is reserved in favour of State/complainant to move for cancellation/recall of this order in case the petitioner violates any condition stipulated under Section 482(2) of BNSS, 2023 or upon showing any other sufficient cause.

7. Needless to say that anything observed herein above shall not be construed to be an opinion on the merits of the case.

8. Pending application(s), if any, shall also stand disposed off.

**(SUMEET GOEL)**  
**JUDGE**

**04.08.2025**

*jatir*

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes/No
Whether reportable:	Yes/No