



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

208

**CRM-M-13485-2025(O&M)
Decided on : 22.04.2025**

PRADEEP SYNGHAL

. . . Petitioner(s)

Versus

STATE OF PUNJAB

. . . Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE KIRTI SINGH

PRESENT: Mr. Akshay Jindal, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. R.S.Thind, DAG Punjab.

Mr. Vikas Kuthiala, Advocate for respondent No.2.

KIRTI SINGH, J. (Oral)

Prayer in the present petition filed under Section 482 BNSS, is for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner, in case FIR No.40 dated 19.02.2025, under Sections 123, 316(2), 85, 61(2) of BNS (Section 109 of BNS added later on), registered at Police Station City South Moga.

2. Vide order dated 12.03.2025, the parties were directed to appear before the Mediation & Conciliation Centre of this Court to explore the possibility of amicable settlement.

3. As per report dated 21.04.2025 received from the Mediation & Conciliation Centre of this Court, the matter has been amicably settled between both the parties and they have agreed to the following terms & conditions of the compromise/settlement:-

“i) The parties have mutually agreed voluntarily and equitably to settle/resolve all the issues/disputes between them



and have decided to resume cohabitation in the matrimonial home situated at Moga along with their minor children.

ii) The first party has agreed that he will play an active and constructive role for providing an emotional, social and financial support as well as for creating a congenial atmosphere/environment in the matrimonial home in order to provide a sense of security and safety in the mind of the second party. The second party has agreed that she will provide a full co-operation for keeping a peace in the matrimonial home as well as congenial matrimonial relations.

iii) The first party has agreed that all the financial expenditures for the household keeping and upbringing of the minor son will be incurred by first party.

iv) Both the parties have agreed that they will bury their differences/disputes and will not pick-up quarrels on petty issues.

v) Both the parties have mutually agreed to resume their cohabitation with their free mind and sweet will. The relatives of both the parties have agreed that they will support the parties in the future for their betterment. The first party in the presence of elders and respectable of the family has agreed to sever all ties and contacts with Ms. Manjri resident of Fazilkha, henceforth.

vi) Both the parties have mutually agreed to lead their lives without the unnecessary and uncalled for black magic and occult practices, which will be harmful to the parties.

vii) Both the parties have mutually agreed that they will lead their matrimonial life with full sincerity and matrimonial propriety.

viii) It has been mutually agreed between the parties that a petition for quashing the above said FIR shall be filed by the first party before the Hon'ble High Court on the basis this settlement/compromise in the month of July, 2025. The second party undertakes that she shall have no objection for the quashing of the above said FIR on the basis of this



settlement/compromise. She further undertakes that she will execute an affidavit and make a statement in the Hon'ble Court for quashing the above-mentioned FIR as and when directed by the Hon'ble Court. It has been further agreed by the second party Ishta that she will not pursue the said FIR in any Court of Law."

4. In view of the fact that the matter has been compromised between both the parties, the petition is allowed.
5. This order should not be treated as "blanket" order. It will not be read granting the petitioner(s) indefinite protection from arrest. It shall be confined to the FIR mentioned ibid and will not operate in respect of any other incident that involves commission of an offence.
6. This order does not in any manner limit or restrict the rights or duties of the police or investigating agency to investigate into the charges against the petitioner(s).
7. The accused-petitioner(s) shall not directly or indirectly make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case so as to dissuade him or her from disclosing such facts to the Court or to any police officer.
8. The accused-petitioner(s) shall not leave India without prior permission of the Court.
9. The accused-petitioner(s) shall join the investigation as and when called by the police.
10. It will be open to the police or the investigating agency to move to this Court for a direction under Section 483(3) of BNSS, 2023 (erstwhile Section 439(2) of Cr.P.C.) to arrest the accused-petitioner(s), in the event of violation of any term, such as absconding, non-cooperating



CRM-M-13485-2025(O&M)

- 4 -

during investigation, evasion, intimidation or inducement to witnesses with a view to influence outcome of the investigation or trial.

Pending miscellaneous application(s), if any, also stands disposed of.

(KIRTI SINGH)
JUDGE

22.04.2025

Kavita Nain

Whether speaking/reasoned: *Yes/No*

Whether Reportable: *Yes/No*