



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

225

CWP-32273-2018 (O&M)
Date of decision: 23.01.2025

Sham Sunder

...Petitioner

Versus

Conservator of Forest, Ferozepur and Others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMAN CHAUDHARY

Present:- Mr. Surinder Garg, Advocate for the petitioner

Mr. Arun Gupta, DAG Punjab

AMAN CHAUDHARY, J. (ORAL)

1. The prayer in the present petition is for quashing the remarks dated 06.10.2017, Annexure P-8 and order dated 26.09.2018, Annexure P-10.
2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the appeal filed against the remarks dated 06.10.2017, Annexure P-8, has been decided firstly by taking opinion of the same officer, who had recorded those remarks, secondly the past record prior to the year 2016-2017, have been taken into account due to which the order passed is erroneous. Even in the reply, it has been specifically stated in para 6 that past record has also been taken into consideration with regard to the remarks of 2016.
3. The aforesaid facts having been brought out, during the course of hearing, learned State counsel on instructions states that the respondents would not be averse to have a relook at the matter, taking note of the aforesaid submissions and decided afresh within a period of 6 months, which satisfies the learned counsel for the petitioner.

4. The matter stands disposed of accordingly and if the petitioner is found entitled, grant the benefit forthwith. Needless to say, if the orders are adverse to his interest, the same may be passed after granting opportunity of hearing to him and shall contain reason, whereupon the petitioner shall be free to seek legal redress thereupon.

(AMAN CHAUDHARY)
JUDGE

23.01.2025

M.Kamra

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes / No
Whether reportable : Yes / No