



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH

216

LPA-426-2022 (O&amp;M)

Date of Decision: 11.09.2025

M/S BHARAT PETROLEUM CORPORATION LTD. AND ORS

...Appellants

Versus

SATISH KUMAR SHARMA AND ORS

...Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI  
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS SURI**

Present:- Mr. Ashish Kapoor, Advocate and  
Mr. M.S. Rana, Advocate, for the appellants.

Mr. Sunil Panwar, Advocate,  
Mr. Gopal Sharma, Advocate, for respondent No.1.

Mr. Kamal Singh, Senior Panel Counsel, for respondent No.2.

Mr. Kuldeep Singh, Advocate, for respondent No.3.

\*\*\*\*\*

**HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI, J. (ORAL)**

1. In the present appeal, the challenge is to the order dated 08.04.2022 passed by the learned Single Judge in CWP-16204-2021, where by the writ petition filed by respondent No.1 has been allowed.

2. Learned counsel appearing for the appellants that the only one grievance of the appellants qua the impugned order passed by learned Single Judge is regarding the direction given in the said impugned order, which is, that the interview committee responsible for selecting the service provider be hand-picked by the Chairman/Managing Director of respondent No.2, i.e. Bharat Petroleum Corporation Limited, whereas the same should be done as per the set norms and standards, under which the guidelines for the selection



of the service provider for the Company Owned Company Operated (COCO) outlets are provided and as per the same, the Committee for selecting the service provider consists of three officers, which include one Head of the concerned Local Officer i.e. the Regional Manager and other two officers are to be nominated by the Head of the Retails in the State/Zonal Officer. Learned counsel submits that the Selection Committee, which is to be constituted for re-evaluation, should be as per the said norms.

3. Learned counsel appearing for the respondents raise no objection for the afore-mentioned modification in the impugned order dated 08.04.2022 passed by the learned Single Judge.

4. The present appeal is accordingly disposed of with the observation that instead of the direction of learned Single Bench that the interview committee for selecting service provider be hand-picked by the Chairman/Managing Director of Bharat Petroleum Corporation Limited, the same will be as per the guidelines already laid down for the selection of the service provider for the Company Owned Company Operated (COCO) outlets.

5. Pending applications, if any, also stand disposed of.

( HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI )  
JUDGE

( VIKAS SURI )  
JUDGE

September 11, 2025

*harish*

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes
Whether reportable	No