



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT  
CHANDIGARH**

**129**

**CRM-M-53388-2025 (O&M)**

**DECIDED ON: 22.09.2025**

**SIKANDER SINGH KATOCH**

**.....PETITIONER**

**VERSUS**

**STATE OF PUNJAB**

**.....RESPONDENT**

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SURYA PRATAP SINGH**

Present: Mr. Tanheer Singh Bariana, Advocate for the petitioner.

**SURYA PRATAP SINGH, J (ORAL)**

1. The instant petition has been filed by the petitioner for directions to the respondents No.2 to 5 to conduct proper and fair investigation/inquiry on the representation (Annexure P-2).

2. The learned counsel representing the petitioner submits that the petitioner has filed the representation dated 31.07.2025 (Annexure P-2) before the Commissioner of Police, Jalandhar. The learned counsel prays for disposing of the present petition by issuing directions to the Commissioner of Police, Jalandhar to decide the abovementioned representation within a stipulated time.

3. Notice of motion.



4. Mr. Eklavya Darshi, Deputy Advocate General, Punjab accepts notice on behalf of respondent/State, and waives service.

5. Keeping in view the aforesaid facts, the present petition is hereby disposed of with a direction to the Commissioner of Police, Jalandhar to decide the representation of the petitioner (Annexure P-2), by passing a speaking order within a period of 15 days from the date of receipt of copy of instant order. It is however clarified that any observation is not being made by this Court with regard to threat perception to the petitioner. The police authority of District Jalandhar shall be at liberty to make an independent assessment of the threat perception to the petitioner, and take a decision on the issue of providing adequate security to him.

**22.09.2025**

*Gaurav Thakur*

**(SURYA PRATAP SINGH)  
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No