



**147 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

LPA-2614-2025

Date of Decision: 01.09.2025

PARVEEN

...APPELLANT

Vs.

CHANDIGARH MUNICIPAL CORPORATION AND ORS.

...RESPONDENTS

**CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ASHWANI KUMAR MISHRA
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ROHIT KAPOOR**

Present:- Mr. Vikram Singh Narwal, Advocate

for the appellant.

Mr. Puneet Gupta, Addl. AG, Haryana.

ASHWANI KUMAR MISHRA, J. (ORAL)

1. The instant appeal has been preferred by the appellant against the impugned judgment dated 29.07.2025 passed by the learned Single Judge in CWP-10548-2024.

2. Learned Single Judge has non-suited the appellant on the ground that his age was more than the maximum age prescribed in the advertisement and the Rules. There is no factual issue on this aspect. Merely, because the appellant was inadvertently permitted to participate or re-appeared would not create any right once the eligibility itself is not fulfilled by the appellant.

3. In that view of the matter, the instant appeal is **dismissed**.

**[ASHWANI KUMAR MISHRA]
JUDGE**

**[ROHIT KAPOOR]
JUDGE**

SEPTEMBER 01st, 2025

Rahul Joshi

1.	Whether Speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
2.	Whether Reportable	Yes/No