

2025:PHHC:043171



242.

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-5659-2025

Date of decision: 28.03.2025

Rahul Yadav and others

...Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MANJARI NEHRU KAUL

Present: Mr. Rakesh Dhiman, Advocate, for the petitioners.

Mr. Karan Sharma, DAG, Haryana, for respondent No.1.

Mr. Ram Bhatti, Advocate, for

Mr. Abhimanyu Kalsi, Advocate, for respondents No.2 to 5.

MANJARI NEHRU KAUL, J. (ORAL)

1. Prayer in the instant petition is for quashing of FIR No.510, dated 30.10.2024, under Sections 126, 3(5), 309(4), 309(6), 324(2), 351(2) of BNS, 2023, registered at Police Station Badshapur, Gurugram (Annexure P-1) and all consequential proceedings arising out of the same, on the basis of compromise dated 30.11.2024 (Annexure P-2) arrived at, between the parties.

2. Vide order dated 31.01.2025 of this Court, the parties were directed to appear before the learned trial Court/Illaqa Magistrate on 28.02.2025 to get their statements recorded regarding the compromise arrived at, between them.

3. Report has since been received from learned Judicial Magistrate First Class, Gurugram, in pursuance of the directions of this Court, wherein, the factum of the compromise arrived at between the parties stands verified and confirmed. As per the report, compromise has indeed been effected between the parties and the same is without any pressure or coercion and out of their free will and the complainant/respondents No.2 to 4 have also made statements to the effect that they would have no objection if the FIR *qua* the accused-petitioners is quashed.

4. The Trial Court has annexed the statements of the parties in original, alongwith its report.

5. Status report by way of an affidavit dated 26.03.2025 of Surender Singh, HPS, Assistant Commissioner of Police, Badshahpur, Gurugram, on behalf of respondent No.1-State, has been filed in Court today, which is taken on record.

6. Learned State counsel too submits that there are no other accused other than the petitioners and respondents No.2 to 5 are the only aggrieved persons in the FIR in question.

7. In view of the report of learned trial Court and the principles laid down by Hon'ble the Apex Court in ***Gian Singh Versus State of Punjab and others (2012) 10 SCC 303***, and also by the Full Bench of this Court in ***Kulwinder Singh and others Versus State of Punjab and another, 2007(3) RCR (Criminal) 1052***, the instant petition is allowed. The

aforesaid FIR and all consequential proceedings arising out of it, are quashed qua petitioners.

8. Needless to say the parties shall remain bound by the terms of compromise and their statements recorded before the Court below.

(MANJARI NEHRU KAUL)
JUDGE

March 28, 2025

sanjeev

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes/No
Whether reportable:	Yes/No