



108

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CWP-1439-2025

Date of Decision :24.04.2025

Pawan Kumar

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE TRIBHUVAN DAHIYA

Present: Mr. Karanvir Hooda, Advocate for the petitioner.

Ms. Tanushree Gupta, DAG, Haryana.

TRIBHUVAN DAHIYA, J. (ORAL)

The petition has been filed, *inter alia*, seeking a writ of *mandamus* directing the respondent to correct the office mistake whereby the petitioner's candidature was considered on the basis of HTET 2013 certificate, though it was required to be considered on the basis of HTET 2011.

2. At the outset, learned counsel for the petitioner contends that grievance raised herein is pending for appropriate decision before the respondents. At this stage, the petitioner will be satisfied in case respondent no.2 is directed to decide his pending representation, dated 03.10.2024, Annexure P-14, in a specified period.

3. Notice of motion.

4. Ms. Tanushree Gupta, DAG, Haryana, accepts notice on behalf of the respondents-State and submits that the pending representation, dated 03.10.2024 will be decided by the second respondent/Secretary of the



Commission, by passing a speaking order in accordance with law within three months.

5. In view of the statement made, learned counsel for the petitioner has no objection to the petition being disposed of in terms thereof.

6. Ordered accordingly.

7. In case the order is not passed within the stipulated period, the officer concerned shall pay costs of ₹50,000 to the petitioner.

April 24, 2025

ps

**(TRIBHUVAN DAHIYA)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/ reasoned : *Yes/No*
Whether reportable : *Yes/No*