



213

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH**CWP-28839-2025****Date of Decision: September 25, 2025****DEVENDER ALIAS DEVINDER DATT OTHERS**Petitioners

Versus

STATE OF HARYANA AND ORSRespondents**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARKESH MANUJA**

Present: Mr. K.B.Sharma, Advocate for the petitioners.

HARKESH MANUJA, J. (ORAL)

By way of present petition filed under Article 226/227 of Constitution of India, prayer has been made for issuance of writ in the nature of mandamus directing respondents to refer the dispute of apportionment of compensation to the Civil Court.

2. At the outset, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that instead of pressing the present petition on merits, his client would be satisfied in case direction is issued to the respondents to decide the legal notice (Annexure P-2) moved at the instance of petitioner by passing a speaking order expeditiously.

3. Prayer seems to be justified.

4. Upon advance notice, Mr. Abhinash Jain, DAG, Haryana appears on behalf of respondents and raises no objection to the innocuous prayer made on behalf of the petitioner.

5. In view of the agreed stand taken by both sides, but without going into the merits of the controversy, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.2-Land Acquisition Collector-cum-District Revenue Officer to look into the legal notice (Annexure P-2) and take appropriate action in accordance with law by passing a speaking order preferably within a period of 04 (four) weeks from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order.

6. The aforesaid direction shall not be treated as an expression of opinion on the merits of the averments made in the legal notice.

25.09.2025

Tejwinder

(HARKESH MANUJA)

JUDGE

<i>Whether speaking/reasoned</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>
<i>Whether Reportable</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>