



CRM-M-34704-2025 (O&M) -1-

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

306

**CRM-M-34704 of 2025 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 24.07.2025****Harinder Singh****...PETITIONER****VERSUS****State of Punjab and Another****...RESPONDENTS****CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE H.S. GREWAL****Present:** Ms. Krishan Singh Dadwal, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Gautam Thapar, Sr. DAG, Punjab.

Mr. Jagdeep Singh, Advocate
for respondent No.2-complainant.

H.S. GREWAL, J. (ORAL)

1. By way of this petition filed under Section 528 of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita (BNSS), 2023, the petitioner is seeking quashing of FIR No.168 dated 30.09.2009 for offence punishable under Sections 420, 465, 468, 471, 120-B IPC, 1860 registered at Police Station Garhshankar, District Hoshiarpur (Annexure P-1) on the basis of compromise and the affidavit of respondent No.2 dated 02.07.2025 (Annexure P-7) and consequential proceedings arising therefrom.

2. Vide order dated 09.07.2025 of this Court, the parties were directed to appear before the learned trial Court/Illaqa Magistrate for getting their statements recorded regarding the compromise arrived at between them and the Court concerned was further directed to get the statements of the parties recorded qua the compromise so arrived at between them.

3. Copy of report has since been received from learned Sub Divisional Judicial Magistrate, Garhshankar in pursuance of the directions of this Court, wherein, the factum of the compromise arrived at between the parties stands



verified and confirmed. As per the report, compromise has indeed been effected between the parties and the same is without any pressure or coercion and out of their free will and the complainant has also made statement to the effect that he would have no objection if the FIR qua the accused-petitioner is quashed.

4. The Trial Court has annexed a copy of the statement of the respondent-party so recorded, alongwith its report.

5. Learned State counsel also submits that there is no accused person other than the petitioner, and respondent No.2 is the only aggrieved person in the FIR in question. The petitioner is not involved in any other case.

6. In view of the report of the learned District & Sessions Judge, Hoshiarpur and the principles laid down by Hon'ble the Apex Court in ***Gian Singh Vs. State of Punjab and others (2012) 10 SCC 303***, and also by the Full Bench of this Court in ***Kulwinder Singh and others Vs. State of Punjab and another, 2007(3) RCR (Criminal) 1052***, the instant petition is allowed and FIR No.168 dated 30.09.2009 for offence punishable under Sections 420, 465, 468, 471, 120-B IPC, 1860 registered at Police Station Garhshankar, District Hoshiarpur (Annexure P-1), are quashed *qua* the petitioner subject to payment of costs of Rs.5,000/- each to be paid to the High Court Legal Services Authority.

7. Needless to say that the parties shall remain bound by the terms of compromise and their statements recorded before the Court below.

(H.S. GREWAL)
JUDGE

24.07.2025

Sonia Puri

Whether speaking/ reasoned :

Yes/No

Whether Reportable :

Yes/No