



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

207

COCP-2866-2023

Date of Decision: 27.08.2025

Vijay Kumar and others

.... Petitioners

Versus

Baldev Singh Saran

.... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE NIDHI GUPTA

Present: - Mr. Brijesh Nandan, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. Japsehaj Singh, Advocate for the respondent.

NIDHI GUPTA, J. (ORAL)

1. Vakalatnama filed on behalf of the respondent is taken on record.

2. The present contempt petition has been filed by the petitioners alleging violation of order dated 23.12.2022 (Annexure P-1) passed by a Division Bench of this Court in LPA-618-2019 and other connected appeals whereby it was directed that cases of the petitioners for regular employment be considered and decided within a period of 02 months from the date of receipt of certified copy of the judgment.

3. Learned counsel for the respondent submits that out of total 05 petitioners, 02 petitioners, namely, Vijay Kumar and Balvir Chand/petitioners No. 1 and 3, respectively, have been appointed as regular employees as they have fulfilled the eligiblity criteria. It is submitted that in respect of remaining 03 petitioners being petitioners No. 2, 4 and 5, namely, Rattan Lal, Kuldeep Kumar and Mohinder Singh, respectively speaking orders No. 1 dated 01.03.2024, No. 17 dated



01.03.2024 and No. 01 dated 18.03.2024, respectively, have been passed rejecting their applications, in view of the fact that they were not eligible as per the relevant criteria. Learned counsel for the respondent submits that thus, the order dated 23.12.2022 (Annexure P-1) stands duly complied with and nothing survives in the present petition.

4. Copies of the speaking orders passed by the respondents are handed over in Court along with compliance affidavit which were taken on record.

5. Copies thereof, have been supplied to the learned counsel for the petitioners as well.

6. In view of the above, nothing survives in the present petition and the same stands **disposed of**, with liberty to petitioners No. 2, 4 and 5 to challenge the aforesaid speaking orders passed by the respondent, in accordance with law, if so advised.

7. Rule stands discharged.

8. Pending application(s), if any, shall also stand disposed of.

27.08.2025

rishu

**(NIDHI GUPTA)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned Yes/No

Whether Reportable Yes/No