



132

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CWP-4865-2025 (O&M)

Date of decision: April 30, 2025

Usha

....Petitioner

versus

Estate Officer, Chandigarh Administration

....Respondent

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUDHIR SINGH
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ALOK JAIN**

Present:- Mr. Himanshu Chhabra, Advocate for the petitioner.

Ms. Madhu Dayal, Additional Standing Counsel and
Ms. Aashna Gill, Junior Panel Counsel
for the respondent – U.T., Chandigarh.

SUDHIR SINGH, J. (ORAL)

Present Writ Petition herein, *inter alia*, is for issuance of a Writ in the nature of Mandamus directing the respondent to issue allotment letter of plinth site No.4058, Sector 25-D, Dainik Bhaskar Colony, Chandigarh.

2. At the outset, learned Standing counsel for the respondent – U.T., Chandigarh submits that the petitioner has legal remedy of an appeal under Clause 28 of the 79th scheme in question for redressal of her grievance.

3. The learned counsel for the petitioner could not controvert the aforesaid submission made by the counsel for the respondent.

4. Considering the same, this petition is disposed of with a liberty to the petitioner to avail remedy of an appeal, as aforesaid, *qua* her grievance,

CWP-4865-2025 (O&M)

before the Appellate Authority. In case, any such appeal is preferred by the petitioner within a period of 30 days, the Appellate Authority shall consider the same and pass an appropriate order, in accordance with law, preferably, within a period of 03 months from the date of filing of such appeal.

5. Pending application(s), if any, shall also stand disposed of.

(SUDHIR SINGH)
JUDGE

(ALOK JAIN)
JUDGE

April 30, 2025

mahavir

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No

Whether reportable: Yes/No