



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH

219

CRM-M No.52505 of 2024

DATE OF DECISION : 6<sup>th</sup> FEBRUARY, 2025

Gurpreet Singh

.... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

.... Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MANJARI NEHRU KAUL

\* \* \* \*

Present : Mr. Lakhwinder Singh Lakhanpal, Advocate  
for the petitioner.

Mr. Amit Rana, Sr. DAG, Punjab.

\* \* \* \*

MANJARI NEHRU KAUL, J. (Oral)

The petitioner is seeking the concession of anticipatory bail under Section 482 of the BNSS in case FIR No.193 dated 10.09.2024 under Sections 304(2), 126(2) & 190 BNS Act, 2023 (Section 317(2) BNS Act, added later on), registered at Police Station Division 6, District Ludhiana.

2. On 22.10.2024, while noticing the following submissions made by the learned counsel for the petitioner, this Court had directed that no coercive steps be taken against the petitioner:

*“Learned counsel for the petitioner, inter alia, contends that the petitioner has no previous criminal antecedents and he had been implicated in the present case on the basis of a disclosure statement allegedly suffered by co-accused Pardeep, who was stated to be driving the*



*vehicle in question when the complainant was assaulted and deprived of his gold ornaments.”*

3. Thereafter on 25.11.2024, while noticing the following submissions of learned State counsel, this court had granted the concession of interim bail to the petitioner and asked him to join investigation:-

*“Learned State counsel, on instructions, has not disputed that the petitioner has no previous criminal antecedents; however, he submits that the petitioner was present along with the co-accused, who assaulted the complainant and deprived him of his gold ornaments.”*

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that in compliance of order dated 25.11.2024, the petitioner has joined investigation and cooperated with the investigating agency.

5. Learned State counsel, on instructions, does not dispute the factum of the petitioner having joined investigation and cooperated with the investigating agency. He, on further instructions, submits that the petitioner is not required for further investigation much less for his custodial interrogation.

6. In view of the above, the petition is allowed and interim order dated 25.11.2024, is made absolute subject to the conditions laid down in Section 438(2) Cr.P.C./482(2) of BNSS, 2023.

6<sup>th</sup> February, 2025  
'raj'

(MANJARI NEHRU KAUL)  
JUDGE

*Whether speaking/reasoned:*                      *Yes*                      *No*

*Whether Reportable:*                              *Yes*                      *No*