



209

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-31767-2025

Date of Decision:- 16.09.2025

AMANDEEP SINGH

...Petitioner

Vs.

STATE OF PUNJAB

...Respondent

CORAM:- HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE AMARJOT BHATTI

Present:- Mr. Vaibhav Parashar, Advocate for the petitioner.

Ms. Manjot Kaur, AAG, Punjab.

AMARJOT BHATTI, J.

1. Petitioner has filed instant petition under Section 482 of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 for grant of anticipatory bail in FIR No.116 dated 05.05.2025 (Annexure P-1) under Sections 115 (2), 74, 76 of Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023 registered at Police Station Rama Mandi, Police Commissionerate Jalandhar, District Jalandhar.
2. Learned counsel for petitioner states that petitioner has joined investigation and cooperated with investigating agency in pursuance of order dated 06.06.2025 passed by Coordinate Bench. He is still ready to join the investigation as and when required.
3. As per order dated 06.06.2025 petitioner was granted interim bail under Section 482 (2) Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 which runs as under:-

Prayer in the present petition is for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner in a case FIR No.116 dated 05.05.2025, registered under Sections 115(2), 74, 76 of BNS, 2023, at Police Station Rama Mandi, Police Commissionerate Jalandhar, District Jalandhar.

Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that the petitioner was implicated in the present dispute only due to the misunderstanding between the complainant and the petitioner. He submits that now both the sides have amicably resolved their inter-se dispute by way of compromise. He submits that the petitioner has no criminal antecedents and thus, he deserves to be granted anticipatory bail.

Notice of motion.

On asking of the Court, Mr.Karunesh Kaushal, AAG, Punjab accepts notice on behalf of the State.

Mr. Bhupeshwar Jaswal, Advocate, accepts notice on behalf of the complainant. He has affirmed the fact that the issue between the parties has been amicably resolved.

Adjourned to 16.09.2025.

In the meantime, in the event of arrest, the petitioner shall be released on interim bail subject to the satisfaction of the Arresting Officer/Investigating Officer. The petitioner shall join investigation before the Investigating Agency/Officer. He shall abide by the following conditions as envisaged under Section 482(2) Bhartiya Nagrik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023:-

(i) That the petitioner shall make himself available for interrogation by a police officer as and when required to do so.

(ii) That the petitioner shall not directly or indirectly make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case so as to dissuade him from disclosing such facts to the court or to any police officer.

(iii) That the petitioner shall not leave India without prior permission of the court.”

4. Today, learned counsel representing State on instructions of ASI Manjinder Singh pointed out that petitioner joined investigation on 04.07.2025 and he is not required for further investigation.

5. I have considered the aforesaid factual position. Petitioner cooperated with the investigating agency in pursuance of order dated 06.06.2025 passed by Coordinate Bench. Even matter has been compromised. Copy of compromise is Annexure P-2. No purpose would be served by sending petitioner behind the bars. He is still ready to abide by the terms of bail order. Therefore, interim bail already granted vide order dated 06.06.2025 stands confirmed subject to the same terms and conditions as detailed under Section 482 (2) of BNSS, 2023.

6. Petition is, accordingly, allowed.

(AMARJOT BHATTI)
JUDGE

16.09.2025

snd

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No.
Whether reportable : Yes/No