



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP-6532-2025

Date of Decision : **10.03.2025**

SURJEET SINGH

.....Petitioner

VERSUS

STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDEEP TIWARI

Present : Mr. Jashandeep Singh, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Bhupender Singh, DAG, Haryana.

KULDEEP TIWARI, J.(Oral)

1. Through the instant writ petition filed under Article 226/227 of the Constitution of India, a prayer is made for issuance of a *mandamus* upon the respondents to provide substantial and meaningful compensation to the petitioner for removal of his name from the BPL ration card list from 2008 to 2023.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the name of the present petitioner was wrongly and illegally removed from the list of BPL ration card in the year 2008, which was ultimately re-entered in the year 2023. Therefore, the petitioner has suffered a mental agony, financial distress and comprehensive hardship, due to the illegal and wrongful act of the respondents, for which he is liable to be compensated by them.

3. A perusal of the instant petition, reflects that in fact, it is second round of litigation. Earlier also the petitioner had approached this Court by way of CWP-32317-2019, with a prayer to issue directions upon the official respondents to issue BPL ration card and to include his name in the BPL list, being fully eligible for the same. The said *lis* was disposed of as having been rendered infructuous vide order dated 20.10.2023 (Annexure P-1), on account of the fact the competent authority amongst the respondents therein, informed the co-ordinate bench that the petitioner's name has been re-entered in the BPL list. The petitioner in said writ petition, had not made the instant prayer, as has been made by filing the instant second motion, which ought to have been made by the petitioner in the first round of litigation. Therefore, the instant petition is barred in view of the specific provision envisaged under Order II Rule 2 of the Civil Procedure Code, 1908.

4. Consequently, the instant petition is, hereby, **dismissed**.

March 10, 2025

dharamvir

(KULDEEP TIWARI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned. : Yes/No
Whether Reportable. : Yes/No