



In the High Court of Punjab and Haryana, at Chandigarh

**Regular First Appeal No. 1075 of 2024 (O&M)
And Other Connected Cases**

**Reserved On: 03.05.2025
Pronounced On: 15.05.2025**

Hardev Singh and Others

... Appellant(s)

Versus

State of Haryana and Another

... Respondent(s)

CORAM: Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kshetarpal.

Present: Mr. Shoaib Khan, Mr. Sushik K. Sharma and Ms. Swati Sharma and Mr. Anuj Kumar Sharma, Advocates, for the landowner(s).

Mr. Pritam Singh Saini, Advocate
for Municipal Corporation, Panchkula.

Mr. Abhinash Jain, Deputy Advocate General,
Haryana and Ms. Vibha Tewari, Assistant Advocate
General, Haryana.

Anil Kshetarpal, J.

1. Brief Facts of the Case

1.1 With the consent of the learned counsel representing the parties, a batch of 163 connected cases (162 Regular First Appeals and a Civil Revision), details whereof are given at the foot of the judgment, shall stand disposed of by this common order.

1.2 Through these 162 connected regular first appeals, the correctness of the award passed by the Reference Court (hereinafter referred to as "the RC") on 24.07.2024 is assailed. In Civil Revision No. 5761 of 2024, the Municipal Corporation, Panchkula, assails the correctness of the RC's order passed on 15.07.2024 while dismissing its application for

impleadment in the proceedings under Section 18 of the Land Acquisition Act, 1894 (hereinafter referred to as “the 1894 Act”).

1.3 In the considered opinion of the Court, in this case, the following two issues require adjudication:-

- i) Whether the order passed on 15.07.2024 by the RC on 15.07.2004 dismissing the application filed by the Municipal Corporation for its impleadment in the proceedings under Section 18 of the 1894 Act is sustainable in the eyes of law?
- ii) Whether the Reference Court is expected to decide application for permission to lead additional evidence which was filed before the First Appellate Court after the cases were remitted back to the RC for fresh decision?

1.4 The necessary and relevant details of the acquisition are as under:-

S.No.	Date	Particulars
1.	02.06.2006	Notification under Section 4 of the Land Acquisition Act, 1894 (hereinafter referred to as “the 1894 Act”) was published proposing to acquire the land measuring 18.14 Acres in village Saketri, Tehsil and District Panchkula, for development and utilization of residential and commercial area in Sector 2, Mansa Devi Complex, Panchkula.
2.	20.02.2007	Declaration under Section 6 of the 1894 Act was published.
3.	02.04.2007	The Land Acquisition Collector (hereinafter referred to as “LAC”) offered to pay to the landowners @ ₹20,00,000/- per acre while acquiring the land measuring 18.14 Acres.
4.	12.04.2014	The Reference Court (hereinafter referred to as “RC”) has assessed the market value of the acquired land @ ₹1,618/- per square yard (₹78,31,120/- per acre).
5.	05.09.2022	The High Court remitted the matters back to the RC.

S.No.	Date	Particulars
6.	24.07.2024	The Reference Court (hereinafter referred to as “RC”) has assessed the market value of the acquired land @ ₹1,675/- per square yard (₹81,07,000/- per acre).

1.5 In order to comprehend the issues involved in the present case, the relevant facts, in brief, are required to be noticed. After the issuance of preliminary notification under Section 4 and declaration under Section 6 of the 1894 Act, the land measuring 18.14 acres in village Saketri, Tehsil and District Panchkula, Haryana was acquired for the development and utilization of the residential and commercial area in Sector 2, Mansa Devi Complex, Panchkula, vide award No. 24 announced on 02.04.2007 by the Land Acquisition Collector. On the applications filed by the landowners under Section 18 of the 1894 Act, the RC assessed the market value @ ₹1,618/- per square yards (₹78,31,120/- per acre) which was challenged in the appeals filed by the landowners as well as the State of Haryana. During the pendency of appeals, the Municipal Corporation, Panchkula, filed the applications for impleadment which were allowed on 08.08.2022. The Government Pleader also filed an application under Order XLI Rule 27 of the Code of Civil Procedure, 1908 (hereinafter referred to as “CPC”) read with Section 151 CPC for permission to lead additional evidence while proposing to produce copies of as many as 18 sale deeds along with a copy of the revenue layout plan marking the location of the acquired land and the sale deeds. This Court, on 05.09.2022, remitted the matter back to the RC while observing as under:-

“Needless to observe that all the objections/questions shall remain open for adjudication.”

1.6 After having received the file, the RC took up the matter. On 08.02.2024, the Municipal Corporation filed an application for its impleadment as respondent and for permission to file the written statement. After repeated adjournments, the landowners filed reply to the application on 08.05.2024. From the order sheet, it appears that on 12.07.2024, the arguments were heard in the application for impleadment of the Municipal Corporation and the case was adjourned to 15.07.2024 for orders on the application which was dismissed by the RC. Thereafter, on 24.07.2024, the arguments in the main case were heard and decided.

2. Analysis and Discussion

2.1 This Court is of the considered view that the matter is, once again, required to be remitted back for fresh decision. Consequently, the evidence led by the parties is not being discussed in detail.

2.2 First and foremost, the correctness of the order passed by the RC on 15.07.2024 while dismissing the application filed by the Municipal Corporation, Panchkula, for impleadment is required to be analyzed.

2.3 In the present batch of cases, the proceedings before the RC emanate from the applications filed under Section 18 of the 1894 Act by the landowners. It is significant to note that the Supreme Court in *Muthavalli of Sha Madhari Diwan Wakf S.J. Syed Zakrudeen and Another v. Syed Zindasha and Others (2009) 12 SCC 280*, held that the Tribunal exercises limited jurisdiction and as a result the application under Order I Rule 10 CPC is not maintainable. Similarly, in *Prayag Upnivesh Awas Evam Nirman Sahkari Samiti Ltd. v. Allahabad Vikas Pradhikaran & Another (2003) 5 SCC 561*, the Supreme Court held that the Tribunal has no

jurisdiction to convert the proceedings under Section 18 into Section 30 of the 1894 Act. Undoubtedly, at the stage of first appeal in the previous round, the Municipal Corporation was impleaded as respondent No.4, however, the RC was correct in dismissing the application for impleadment in view of the settled law. The Municipal Corporation may, if so advised, apply to the LAC for referring the matter to the RC under Section 30 of the 1894 Act, however, in the proceedings emanating from Section 18, the Municipal Corporation cannot claim impleadment as respondent. Consequently, the appeals filed by the Municipal Corporation as well as the Civil Revision shall stand dismissed. However, the RC while deciding the reference petitions have committed the following significant errors:-

- I) The application filed by the State for permission to lead additional evidence vide CM-1991-CI-2022 in RFA-4031-2015 was required to be decided by the RC particularly when the matter was remitted back for fresh decision. The State filed the application for seeking permission to produce on record the copies of 18 sale deeds which should have been allowed by the RC because the market value of the acquired land is required to be determined on the basis of the evidence adduced by the parties, and the sale exemplars play an important role in helping the Court to identify per acre price.
- II) As per Section 51-A of the 1894 Act, a certified copy of a document registered under the Registration Act, 1908, is admissible as evidence. In other words, formal/original

proof of the aforesaid document is not required to be adduced. In fact, the RC appears to have totally overlooked this aspect of the matter. On 15.07.2024, the RC dismissed the application filed by the Municipal Corporation for its impleadment whereas on 24.07.2004 the final decision was passed. Moreover, the RC was factually incorrect while recording finding to the effect that no sale deeds of the contemporary period are available. The State did produce as many as 18 sale deeds in their application for permission to lead additional evidence.

III) The RC has also erred in recording a finding that the acquired land does not fall in the definition of '*shamlat land*'. Such finding was neither necessary nor appropriate as the RC failed to grant an opportunity to the parties to lead evidence with respect to said question. A very limited category of the land recorded in the name of '*shamlat patti*' comes within the definition of '*shamlat land*' as defined in Section 2(g) of the Punjab Village Common Land (Regulations) Act, 1961. As already noticed, the moment an application for impleadment by the Municipal Corporation was dismissed, the Court proceeded to pass the final award.

2.4 Consequently, the application filed for leading additional evidence is allowed and the State is permitted to produce a layout plan

(Annexure A-19) marking the location of the acquired land and the various parcels of land which were sold through the various sale deeds and the certified copies of the various sale deeds. An opportunity is required to be given to the landowners to lead evidence in response. They are also required to be given an opportunity to prove that “whether marking of the location of the acquired land and the various parcels of plots which were the subject matter of the case, was correct or not?”

2.5 Additionally, now the Municipal Corporation, Panchkula, will have an opportunity to file applications to the LAC with a request to refer the application filed under Section 30 of the 1894 Act to the RC for adjudication.

3. Decision

3.1 Consequently, the impugned judgment passed by the RC on 24.07.2024 is set aside while directing the RC to decide the matter afresh. The appeals filed by the landowners are disposed of and the appeals filed by the Municipal Corporation, Panchkula as well as Civil Revision No. 5761 of 2024 are dismissed.

3.2 In view of the foregoing discussion, the first question is answered against the Municipal Corporation, Panchkula, because the application for impleadment as a party/respondent in the proceedings under Section 18 of the 1894 Act was not maintainable as the RC is a Court of limited jurisdiction.

3.3 With respect to the second issue, it is observed that the RC was expected to decide the application for seeking permission to lead additional evidence. Hence, the second issue is answered in affirmative.

3.4 The parties, through their learned counsel are directed to appear before the RC on 24.07.2025 at 10.00 A.M.

3.5 The miscellaneous application(s) pending, if any, in all the appeals, shall stand disposed of.

**(Anil Kshetarpal)
Judge**

May 15, 2025
“DK”

Whether speaking/reasoned :Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No

Sr. No.	Case No.	Party's Name
1.	RFA-1077-2024	Ramzan Mohammad (Deceased) Through LRs and Others Vs. State of Haryana and Another
2.	RFA-1078-2024	Harpal Singh and Another Vs. State of Haryana and Another
3.	RFA-1079-2024	Surinder Kaur Alias Suminder Kaur Vs. State of Haryana and Another
4.	RFA-1080-2024	Jarnail Singh and Others Vs. State of Haryana and Another
5.	RFA-1082-2024	Jagir Singh (Deceased) Through LRs Vs. State of Haryana and Another
6.	RFA-1084-2024	Parduman Singh and Others Vs. State of Haryana and Another
7.	RFA-1085-2024	Narinder Singh and Another Vs. State of Haryana and Another
8.	RFA-1089-2024	Parvinder Singh Alias Palvinder Singh Vs. State of Haryana
9.	RFA-1091-2024	Nasib Kaur (Deceased) Through Her LRs and Others Vs. State of Haryana and Another
10.	RFA-1094-2024	Avtar Singh and Another Vs. State of Haryana and Another
11.	RFA-1095-2024	Jarnail Singh Vs. State of Haryana and Another
12.	RFA-1096-2024	Sher Singh Vs. State of Haryana and Another
13.	RFA-1097-2024	Surjeet Kaur (Deceased) Through Her LRs Vs. State of Haryana and Another
14.	RFA-1098-2024	Sarwan Singh (now Deceased) through LRs Vs. State of Haryana and Another
15.	RFA-1100-2024	Gurmukh Singh and Others Vs. State of Haryana and Another
16.	RFA-1101-2024	Dayal Singh (now Deceased Through His LRs) and Another Vs. State of Haryana and Others
17.	RFA-1102-2024	Rajinder Singh Vs. State of Haryana and Others
18.	RFA-1104-2024	Karam Singh now Deceased Through His LRs and Another Vs. State of Haryana and Another
19.	RFA-1105-2024	Smt. Pritam Kaur now Deceased Through LRs and Another Vs. State of Haryana and Others
20.	RFA-1106-2024	Parvinder Singh Vs. State of Haryana and Another
21.	RFA-1107-2024	Mohinder Singh (now Deceased Through His LRs) and Others Vs. State of Haryana and Another
22.	RFA-1109-2024	Gurpreet Singh and Others Vs. State of Haryana and Another

**Regular First Appeal No. 1075 of 2024 (O&M)
And Other Connected Cases**

9

Sr. No.	Case No.	Party's Name
23.	RFA-1110-2024	Tarsem Singh and Others Vs. State of Haryana and Another
24.	RFA-1112-2024	Balbir Singh Vs. State of Haryana and Another
25.	RFA-1113-2024	Nirmal Singh and Others Vs. State of Haryana and Another
26.	RFA-1117-2024	Mohan Singh and Another Vs. State of Haryana and Another
27.	RFA-1118-2024	Kanta Devi Vs. State of Haryana and Another
28.	RFA-1119-2024	Jarnail Singh and Others Vs. State of Haryana and Another
29.	RFA-1121-2024	Karam Singh (now Deceased Through His LRs) and Others Vs. State of Haryana and Another
30.	RFA-1123-2024	Rattan Singh (now Deceased) Through LRs Vs. State of Haryana and Others
31.	RFA-1124-2024	Dharam Singh (now Deceased Through His LRs) and Others Vs. State of Haryana and Another
32.	RFA-1126-2024	Babu Khan Through LRs Vs. State of Haryana and Others
33.	RFA-1127-2024	Baljinder Singh Vs. State of Haryana and Another
34.	RFA-1128-2024	Kamlesh(Deceased) Through Her LRs and Another Vs. State of Haryana and Another
35.	RFA-1131-2024	Sawarn Singh and Another Vs. State of Haryana and Others
36.	RFA-1141-2024	Harbhajan Singh Vs. State of Haryana and Another
37.	RFA-1142-2024	Karam Chand and Others Vs. State of Haryana and Another
38.	RFA-1143-2024	Jasbir Kaur (Deceased) Through LRs and Others Vs. State of Haryana and Others
39.	RFA-1147-2024	Harminder Singh and Another Vs. State of Haryana and Another
40.	RFA-1150-2024	Mangat Ram and Others Vs. State of Haryana and Another
41.	RFA-1151-2024	Pritam Singh Deceased Through His LRs and Others Vs. State of Haryana and Another
42.	RFA-1216-2024	Harjinder Singh Vs. State of Haryana and Others
43.	RFA-1221-2024	Bant Singh (now Deceased Through His LRs) and Others Vs. State of Haryana and Another
44.	RFA-828-2024	Municipal Corporation Panchkula Vs. Pritam Singh and Others
45.	CR-5761-2024	Municipal Corporation Panchkula Vs. Pritam Singh and Others
46.	RFA-832-2024	Municipal Corporation Panchkula Vs. Gurmukh Singh and Others
47.	RFA-833-2024	Municipal Corporation Panchkula Vs. Ajmer Singh Deceased Through LRs and Others
48.	RFA-834-2024	Municipal Corporation Panchkula Vs. Balbir Singh and Others
49.	RFA-835-2024	Municipal Corporation Panchkula Vs. Sadhu Singh Deceased Through LRs and Others
50.	RFA-836-2024	Municipal Corporation Panchkula Vs. Ramzan Mohammad and Others
51.	RFA-839-2024	Municipal Corporation Panchkula Vs. Sher Singh Deceased Through LRs and Others
52.	RFA-840-2024	Municipal Corporation Panchkula Vs. Jarnail Singh and Others
53.	RFA-841-2024	Municipal Corporation Panchkula Vs. Baldev Singh and Others
54.	RFA-843-2024	Municipal Corporation Panchkula Vs. Ram Murti and Others
55.	RFA-845-2024	Municipal Corporation Panchkula Vs. Rulda Singh Deceased Through LRs and Others

**Regular First Appeal No. 1075 of 2024 (O&M)
And Other Connected Cases**

10

Sr. No.	Case No.	Party's Name
56.	RFA-846-2024	Municipal Corporation Panchkula Vs. Babu and Others
57.	RFA-847-2024	Municipal Corporation Panchkula Vs. Abhey Ram and Others
58.	RFA-848-2024	Municipal Corporation Panchkula Vs. Angrej Kaur and Others
59.	RFA-849-2024	Municipal Corporation Panchkula Vs. Sarwan Singh and Others
60.	RFA-850-2024	Municipal Corporation Panchkula Vs. Parvinder Singh and Others
61.	RFA-8497-2014	Amar Kaur and Others Vs. Rajinder Kumar and Others
62.	RFA-9121-2014	Rajinder Kumar Sharma Vs. Amar Kaur & Another
63.	RFA-851-2024	Municipal Corporation Panchkula Vs. Baljinder Singh and Others
64.	RFA-1161-2024	Khushal Singh and Another Vs. State of Haryana and Another
65.	RFA-1166-2024	Dev Raj (Deceased) Through His LRs and Another Vs. State of Haryana and Another
66.	RFA-1181-2024	Bachan Kaur Alias Gurbachan Kaur and Others Vs. State of Haryana and Another
67.	RFA-1188-2024	Nachhatter Singh and Another Vs. State of Haryana and Another
68.	RFA-1191-2024	Rulda Singh now Deceased Through His LRs Vs. State of Haryana and Another
69.	RFA-1194-2024	Bhupinder Singh and Others Vs. State of Haryana and Others
70.	RFA-1196-2024	Kuldeep Chand Alias Kuldip Chand and Others Vs. State of Haryana and Another
71.	RFA-1197-2024	Hardev Singh (Deceased) Through His LRs. & Others. Vs. State of Haryana and Another
72.	RFA-1198-2024	Trilok Singh (Deceased) Through LRs Vs. State of Haryana and Others
73.	RFA-1203-2024	Dalbara Singh Vs. State of Haryana and Another
74.	RFA-1208-2024	Kartaro Alias Kartar Kaur (now Deceased Through Her LRs) and Another Vs. State of Haryana and Others
75.	RFA-1209-2024	Sadhu Singh (now Deceased Through His LRs) Vs. State of Haryana and Another
76.	RFA-1215-2024	Ranjit Singh Vs. State of Haryana and Another
77.	RFA-1217-2024	Gurdev Singh (Deceased) Through His LRs. & Others. Vs. State of Haryana and Another
78.	RFA-1223-2024	Balbir Singh and Another Vs. State of Haryana and Others
79.	RFA-1224-2024	Shashi Bala and Another Vs. State of Haryana and Another
80.	RFA-1227-2024	Sucha Singh Through His Lr Vs. State of Haryana and Another
81.	RFA-852-2024	Municipal Corporation Panchkula Vs. Baldev Singh and Others
82.	RFA-853-2024	Municipal Corporation Panchkula Vs. Mewa Singh and Others
83.	RFA-854-2024	Municipal Corporation Panchkula Vs. Bhupinder Singh and Others
84.	RFA-855-2024	Municipal Corporation Panchkula Vs. Surjeet Kaur Deceased Through LRs and Others
85.	RFA-856-2024	Municipal Corporation Panchkula Vs. Nand Singh and Others
86.	RFA-857-2024	Municipal Corporation Panchkula Vs. Achhru Ram Deceased Through LRs and Others
87.	RFA-858-2024	Municipal Corporation Panchkula Vs. Shamsher Singh and

**Regular First Appeal No. 1075 of 2024 (O&M)
And Other Connected Cases**

11

Sr. No.	Case No.	Party's Name
		Others
88.	RFA-859-2024	Municipal Corporation Panchkula Vs. Karam Chand and Others
89.	RFA-863-2024	Municipal Corporation Panchkula Vs. Gurmeet Singh and Others
90.	RFA-864-2024	Municipal Corporation Panchkula Vs. Jatinder Kaur @ Balbir Kaur and Others
91.	RFA-865-2024	Municipal Corporation Panchkula Vs. Harpal Singh and Others
92.	RFA-866-2024	Municipal Corporation Panchkula Vs. Harminder Singh and Others
93.	RFA-867-2024	Municipal Corporation Panchkula Vs. Nasib Kaur and Others
94.	RFA-868-2024	Municipal Corporation Panchkula Vs. Sarwan Singh and Others
95.	RFA-869-2024	Municipal Corporation Panchkula Vs. Pritam Kaur Deceased Through LRs and Others
96.	RFA-870-2024	Municipal Corporation Panchkula Vs. Rajinder Singh and Others
97.	RFA-871-2024	Municipal Corporation Panchkula Vs. Bant Singh and Others
98.	RFA-872-2024	Municipal Corporation Panchkula Vs. Karnail Singh and Others
99.	RFA-873-2024	Municipal Corporation Panchkula Vs. Gurdev Singh and Others
100.	RFA-874-2024	Municipal Corporation Panchkula Vs. Tarsem Singh and Others
101.	RFA-875-2024	Municipal Corporation Panchkula Vs. Mohinder Singh Deceased Through LRs and Others
102.	RFA-876-2024	Municipal Corporation Panchkula Vs. Ashwani Kumar and Others
103.	RFA-877-2024	Municipal Corporation Panchkula Vs. Babu Khan Deceased Through LRs And Others
104.	RFA-878-2024	Municipal Corporation Panchkula Vs. Harmel Singh and Others
105.	RFA-879-2024	Municipal Corporation Panchkula Vs. Nachhattar Singh and Others
106.	RFA-880-2024	Municipal Corporation Panchkula Vs. Kamlesh and Others
107.	RFA-881-2024	Municipal Corporation Panchkula Vs. Jit Singh and Others
108.	RFA-882-2024	Municipal Corporation Panchkula Vs. Vasdev and Others
109.	RFA-883-2024	Municipal Corporation Panchkula Vs. Pritam Singh Deceased Through LRs and Others
110.	RFA-884-2024	Municipal Corporation Panchkula Vs. Dharam Singh Deceased Through LRs and Others
111.	RFA-885-2024	Municipal Corporation Panchkula Vs. Rattan Singh Deceased Through LRs and Others
112.	RFA-886-2024	Municipal Corporation Panchkula Vs. Harminder Singh and Others
113.	RFA-887-2024	Municipal Corporation Panchkula Vs. Dev Raj and Others
114.	RFA-888-2024	Municipal Corporation Panchkula Vs. Hardev Singh and Others
115.	RFA-889-2024	Municipal Corporation Panchkula Vs. Mohan Singh and Others
116.	RFA-890-2024	Municipal Corporation Panchkula Vs. Bachan Kaur and Others
117.	RFA-891-2024	Municipal Corporation Panchkula Vs. Shashi Bala and Others
118.	RFA-892-2024	Municipal Corporation Panchkula Vs. Narinder Singh and Others
119.	RFA-893-2024	Municipal Corporation Panchkula Vs. Jarnail Singh and Others

**Regular First Appeal No. 1075 of 2024 (O&M)
And Other Connected Cases**

12

Sr. No.	Case No.	Party's Name
120.	RFA-894-2024	Municipal Corporation Panchkula Vs. Jai Kishan and Others
121.	RFA-895-2024	Municipal Corporation Panchkula Vs. Jagir Singh (Deceased) through LRs and Others
122.	RFA-896-2024	Municipal Corporation Panchkula Vs. Pal Singh and Others
123.	RFA-897-2024	Municipal Corporation Panchkula Vs. Avtar Singh and Others
124.	RFA-898-2024	Municipal Corporation Panchkula Vs. Jaspal Singh and Others
125.	RFA-899-2024	Municipal Corporation Panchkula Vs. Nirmal Singh and Others
126.	RFA-900-2024	Municipal Corporation Panchkula Vs. Tarlochan Singh and Others
127.	RFA-901-2024	Municipal Corporation Panchkula Vs. Parduman Singh and Others
128.	RFA-902-2024	Municipal Corporation Panchkula Vs. Kuldeep Chand and Others
129.	RFA-903-2024	Municipal Corporation Panchkula Vs. Mangat and Others
130.	RFA-904-2024	Municipal Corporation Panchkula Vs. Karam Singh and Others
131.	RFA-905-2024	Municipal Corporation Panchkula Vs. Gurbax Singh and Others
132.	RFA-906-2024	Municipal Corporation Panchkula Vs. Jaspal Singh and Others
133.	RFA-907-2024	Municipal Corporation Panchkula Vs. Mangat Ram and Others
134.	RFA-908-2024	Municipal Corporation Panchkula Vs. Mohan Singh and Others
135.	RFA-909-2024	Municipal Corporation Panchkula Vs. Parvinder Singh Alias Palvinder Singh and Others
136.	RFA-910-2024	Municipal Corporation Panchkula Vs. Jarnail Singh and Others
137.	RFA-911-2024	Municipal Corporation Panchkula Vs. Gurpreet Singh and Others
138.	RFA-912-2024	Municipal Corporation Panchkula Vs. Nirmal Singh and Others
139.	RFA-913-2024	Municipal Corporation Panchkula Vs. Jang Singh and Others
140.	RFA-914-2024	Municipal Corporation Panchkula Vs. Kanta Devi and Others
141.	RFA-915-2024	Municipal Corporation Panchkula Vs. Balbir Singh and Others
142.	RFA-916-2024	Municipal Corporation Panchkula Vs. Kartar Singh and Others
143.	RFA-917-2024	Municipal Corporation Panchkula Vs. Jaggan Nath and Others
144.	RFA-918-2024	Municipal Corporation Panchkula Vs. Khushal Singh and Others
145.	RFA-919-2024	Municipal Corporation Panchkula Vs. Karam Singh and Others
146.	RFA-920-2024	Municipal Corporation Panchkula Vs. Harbhajan Singh and Others
147.	RFA-921-2024	Municipal Corporation Panchkula Vs. Ranjit Singh and Others
148.	RFA-922-2024	Municipal Corporation Panchkula Vs. Pritpal Singh and Others
149.	RFA-923-2024	Municipal Corporation Panchkula Vs. Dhian Singh and Others
150.	RFA-924-2024	Municipal Corporation Panchkula Vs. Surinder Kaur Alias Suminder Kaur and Others
151.	RFA-925-2024	Municipal Corporation Panchkula Vs. Kulwant Singh and Others
152.	RFA-926-2024	Municipal Corporation Panchkula Vs. Usha Rani and Others
153.	RFA-927-2024	Municipal Corporation Panchkula Vs. Matu and Others
154.	RFA-928-2024	Municipal Corporation Panchkula Vs. Sita Deceased Through LRs and Others

**Regular First Appeal No. 1075 of 2024 (O&M)
And Other Connected Cases**

13

Sr. No.	Case No.	Party's Name
155.	RFA-929-2024	Municipal Corporation Panchkula Vs. Bhupinder Singh and Others
156.	RFA-930-2024	Municipal Corporation Panchkula Vs. Hardev Singh and Others
157.	RFA-931-2024	Municipal Corporation Panchkula Vs. Ravi Nandan and Others
158.	RFA-932-2024	Municipal Corporation Panchkula Vs. Bachni Devi Deceased Through LRs and Others
159.	RFA-933-2024	Municipal Corporation Panchkula Vs. Labh Singh and Others
160.	RFA-965-2024	Municipal Corporation Panchkula Vs. Dilbara Singh and Others
161.	RFA-966-2024	Municipal Corporation Panchkula Vs. Sucha Singh and Others
162.	RFA-968-2024	Municipal Corporation Panchkula Vs. Pritam Singh and Others

**(Anil Kshetarpal)
Judge**

**May 15, 2025
"DK"**