



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

220

**CRM-M-39051-2025
Date of decision: 11.09.2025**

MANJIT SINGH ALIAS RANA

...Petitioner

Versus

STATE OF PUNJAB

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY VASHISTH

Present : Mr. G.S. Virk, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Manjinder Singh Bhullar, DAG, Punjab.

SANJAY VASHISTH. J.(Oral)

1. This petition has been filed under Section 482 of BNSS, for the grant of anticipatory bail in case FIR No. 120 dated 19.06.2025 under Section 304 of BNS registered at Police Station Sadar Jagraon, District Ludhiana Rural.

2. On 24.07.2025, following order was passed:-

This petition has been filed under Section 482 of BNSS, for the grant of anticipatory bail in case FIR No. 120 dated 19.06.2025 under Section 304 of BNS registered at Police Station Sadar Jagraon, District Ludhiana Rural.

The case of the prosecution is that the petitioner along with two other co-accused snatched one mobile phone make I-phone 13 Pro, currency notes of Rs. 5000/-, a driving license, a silver bracelet weighing 5 tolas and some bank documents from the complainant by threatening him with an iron daat. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner holds the position of head constable within the Punjab Police. He has been falsely implicated in the present case. He further submits that even the description of the accused given by the complainant does not match with the petitioner. Notice of motion



- 2-

for 11.09.2025. On the asking of the Court, Mr. Gautam Thapar, Sr. DAG, Punjab accepts notice on behalf of the State. In the meantime, the petitioner is directed to appear before the SHO/Investigating Officer to join investigation as and when required and in the event of his arrest, he shall be released on interim bail on his furnishing bail bonds to the satisfaction of SHO/Investigating Officer, subject to the following conditions as envisaged under Section 482(2) of the BNSS [erstwhile Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.]:-

- i) that the petitioner shall make himself available for interrogation by a police officer as and when required;*
- ii) that the petitioner shall not, directly or indirectly, make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case so as to dissuade him/her from disclosing such facts to the Court or to any police officer;*
- iii) that the petitioner shall not leave India without the prior permission of the Court;*
- iv) such other condition as may be imposed under sub-section (3) of Section 480, as if the bail were granted under that section.”*

3. Continuing his submissions, learned counsel for the petitioner contends that in compliance of the order dated 24.07.2025, passed by this Court, petitioner has joined the investigation, and has fully co-operated. Therefore, he prays for confirmation of the said interim anticipatory bail order.

4. Learned State counsel on instructions from the official respondent confirms the said averment made by counsel for the petitioner of joining the investigation on 29.08.2025 by the petitioner, and submits that as of now, custodial interrogation of the petitioner is not required for the purpose of investigation.

5. Heard learned counsel for the parties.



6. Since, petitioner has already joined the investigation and custodial interrogation is no more required; ad-interim bail order dated 24.07.2025, passed by this Court is hereby made absolute. Accordingly, present petition is allowed.

However, petitioner shall continue to join the investigation as and when required to do so and abide by all the terms and conditions laid down under Section 482(2) of BNSS, 2023.

7. Accordingly, petition stands disposed of.

(SANJAY VASHISTH)
JUDGE

11.09.2025
amandeep

Whether speaking/reasoned. : Yes/No
Whether Reportable. : Yes/No