

231 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

2025:PHHC:030611



CRA-S-660-2025

Date of Decision:04.03.2025

VIKAS ALIAS VICKY ALIAS DHAMU
Vs.

...Appellant

STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS

...Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANDEEP MOUDGIL

Present: Mr. Gautam Dutt, Advocate for the appellant.
Mr. Chetan Sharma, DAG, Haryana.

SANDEEP MOUDGIL, J. (Oral)

CRM-8188-2025

The present application has been filed under Section 5 of the Limitation Act, 1963, read with Section 428 of BNSS, 2023, for condonation of delay of 146 days in filing the appeal.

For the reasons mentioned in the application, CRM is allowed as prayed for and delay of 146 days in filing the appeal stands condoned.

CRA-S-660-2025

The present appeal has been filed against the impugned order dated 03.07.2024 passed by Additional Sessions Judge, Gurugram and grant of concession of regular bail in FIR No. 0319 dated 22.08.2023, U/s 147, 149, 323, 325, 380, 506 IPC & Section 302, 34 IPC, 3(2) (v) of Scheduled Castes and Scheduled Tribes (Prevention of Atrocities) Act, 1989 (Amendment 2015) added later and Sections 147, 149, 380 IPC deleted, registered at Police Station Bilaspur Gurugram, District: Gurugram.

After arguing for some time, learned counsel for the appellant prays for withdrawal of the present appeal at this stage.

Allowed as prayed for.

Dismissed as withdrawn at this stage.

(SANDEEP MOUDGIL)
JUDGE

04.03.2025

kv

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No