



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

215

CRM-M-30814-2025 (O&M)
Date of decision: 16.09.2025

Vijay Kumar

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMAN CHAUDHARY

Present : Mr. Shubham Rana, Advocate for the petitioner

Mr. B.S. Saroha, DAG Haryana

AMAN CHAUDHARY, J. (ORAL)

1. Prayer in the present petition filed under Section 483 BNSS is for grant of regular bail to the petitioner in case FIR No.90 dated 13.02.2022, registered under Sections 22(c), 29 and 27A of NDPS Act at Police Station Mahesh Nagar, District Ambala.

2. Learned counsel contends that the petitioner has been in custody for 1 year and more than 6 months. His name surfaced based on the second disclosure statement of co-accused Vipin @ Sonu from whom the alleged contraband was recovered and has been granted default bail by this Court vide order dated 21.02.2023, Annexure P-6. He alleges false implication. The earlier bail application filed by the petitioner was withdrawn on 12.03.2025 to approach the trial Court for grant of regular bail, however, the same was dismissed vide order dated 30.04.2025, Annexure P-9. Charges have been framed on 02.03.2023, however, out of 21 prosecution witnesses, none has been examined. There is no other case against the petitioner.



3. The custody certificate dated 15.09.2025, filed by the learned State counsel is taken on record. As per the same, the petitioner is behind bars for 1 year, 6 months and 2 days.

4. Learned State counsel opposes the bail on the ground that the petitioner had actively involved in the peddling of drugs. However, he is unable to controvert the submissions with regard to stage of the case, co-accused having been granted default bail and the petitioner not being involved in any other case under the Act.

5. Heard.

6. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case, in particular that the petitioner is in custody for the last 1 year, 6 months and 2 days; not involved in any other case under the Act; co-accused has been granted default bail, charges stand framed way back on 02.03.2023, however, out of 21 prosecution witnesses none has been examined so far, the trial is likely to take a considerable time, further incarceration of the petitioner would be violative of his right enshrined under Article 21 of the Constitution of India and the rigors of Section 37 of the NDPS Act can be diluted bearing in mind the right to a speedy trial, the present petition is allowed.

7. The petitioner is ordered to be released on regular bail, subject to furnishing bail/surety bonds to the satisfaction of trial Court/Duty Magistrate concerned, if not required in any other case and shall abide by the following conditions:-

- (i) The petitioner will not tamper with the evidence during the trial.
- (ii) The petitioner will not pressurize/ intimidate the prosecution witnesses.



- (iii) The petitioner will appear before the trial Court on each and every date fixed, unless is exempted by a specific order of Court.
- (iv) The petitioner shall not commit an offence similar to the offence of which, he is an accused, or for commission of which he is suspected of.
- (v) The petitioner shall not directly or indirectly coerce, induce, threaten or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case so as to dissuade him/ her from disclosing such facts to the Court or to any police officer or tamper with the evidence in any manner.
- (vi) The petitioner shall not in any manner misuse his liberty.
- (vii) The petitioner shall furnish his address and mobile number by way of an affidavit to the trial Court and not change the same till conclusion of trial and if for any reasons, he seeks to change either of the aforesaid, it shall be done only with prior information to the learned trial Court.
- (viii) The petitioner shall not leave the country without prior permission of the trial Court.
- (ix) The trial Court/Duty Magistrate may impose any other condition, as deemed appropriate while releasing the petitioner.

8. It is made abundantly clear that in case there is any breach of the aforesaid conditions, the State shall be at liberty to seek cancellation of bail as granted to the petitioner by this order.

9. In view of the above, it is clarified that the observations made herein above are limited for the purpose of present proceedings and would not be construed as any opinion on the merits of the case and the trial would proceed independently of the aforesaid observations.

(AMAN CHAUDHARY)
JUDGE

16.09.2025

M.Kamra

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes / No
Whether reportable : Yes / No