



120

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

COCP-4908-2025 (O&M)
Date of decision : 29.09.2025

Surinder Pal

...Petitioner

Versus

Rajwinder Singh and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE HARPREET KAUR JEEWAN

Present: Mr. Amandeep Saini, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

HARPREET KAUR JEEWAN, J. (Oral)

1. The instant petition has been filed for initiation of contempt proceedings against the respondents for alleged non-compliance of the order dated 24.03.2025 (Annexure P-1), passed by the larger Bench in CWP-8117-2025.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that respondent No.2-Naib Tehsildar, Nurpurbedi has not done the demarcation, as such, respondent No.3-Sarpanch, Gram Panchayat, Plata and respondent No.1-BDPO are unable to comply with the order dated 24.03.2025.

3. I have considered the aforesaid submissions and perused the paper-book.

4. The relevant portion of order dated 24.03.2025 reads as under:-

"1. The writ petition is disposed of with a direction to the Sarpanch or the authorized person from village concerned, or the BDPO concerned, to forthwith institute a Section 7 petition under Punjab Village Common Lands (Regulation) Act, 1961, in respect of the disputed lands before the Collector concerned. On such a petition



being filed, it shall be lawfully decided through a speaking decision made thereons, but the said decision shall be made only after hearing all affected persons concerned, and, shall be positively made within a period of six months from its preferment.

2. Needless to state, that before the institution of the said petition, a valid demarcation shall be made of the petition lands, wherein, the participation of all the aggrieved concerned, shall be elicited and shall also be ensured.”

5. It transpires that respondent No.2-Naib Tehsildar, Nurpurbedi was not a party in the aforesaid CWP-8117-2025 and such directions were not passed in the presence of said Naib Tehsildar.

6. Before filing the present petition, the petitioner did not serve any legal notice to respondent No.2-Naib Tehsildar, Nurpurbedi, requiring him to comply with the aforesaid order dated 24.03.2025.

7. In such circumstances, it cannot be presumed that respondent No.2 has intentionally violated and disobeyed the order passed by the larger Bench dated 24.03.2025.

8. In view of the above, the present petition is premature and is disposed of accordingly.

9. Pending miscellaneous applications, if any, shall stand disposed of.

29.09.2025

atulsethi

Whether speaking / reasoned :
Whether Reportable :

[HARPREET KAUR JEEWAN]
JUDGE

Yes No
Yes No