



178

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

COC-870-2025

Date of Decision: March 17, 2025

RAJINDER PAL SINGH

.....Petitioner

Versus

PARAMJIT SINGH

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARKESH MANUJA

Present: Mr. Ashish Gupta, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Athar Ahmed, DAG, Punjab

HARKESH MANUJA, J. (ORAL)

Learned State counsel on instructions submits that vide order dated 17.03.2025 passed by the Director, School Education (Secondary), Punjab, the period from 24.12.1998 i.e. the date of suspension to 20.09.2017 i.e. the date of reinstatement has been treated as qualifying service for pensionary benefits and upon notional fixation, the financial benefits shall be released in favour of the petitioner within a period of 4 weeks from today.

In view of the aforesaid undertaking, the present petition is disposed of.

Rule discharged.

However, in case the needful is not done within the aforementioned period, as per the undertaking, the petitioner would be at liberty to seek revival of the contempt petition and in that eventuality, the erring/concerned Officer would be liable to pay additional sum of Rs.50,000/- as costs from his/her own pocket in favour of the petitioner towards litigation expenses, immediately, i.e. on the first date of listing of revival application.

17.03.2025

Tejwinder

**(HARKESH MANUJA)
JUDGE**

<i>Whether speaking/reasoned</i>	Yes/No
<i>Whether Reportable</i>	Yes/No