



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

201

CRM-M-25885-2024

Date of decision: August 13th, 2025

Amrinder Singh

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MANJARI NEHRU KAUL

Present: Mr. Gurvinder Pal Singh, Advocate
for Mr. P.S. Khurana, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Amit Rana, Senior Deputy Advocate General, Punjab.

Mr. Vishal Sharma, Advocate
for the complainant.

MANJARI NEHRU KAUL, J. (ORAL)

The petitioner is seeking the concession of anticipatory bail under Section 438 of the Cr.P.C. in FIR No.45 dated 16.04.2024 under Sections 306/34 of the Indian Penal Code, 1860, registered at Police Station Nangal.

2. Vide order dated 22.05.2024, the petitioner had been granted interim anticipatory bail with direction to join investigation and the relevant part of the said order reads as under:-

“Learned counsel for the petitioner inter alia contends that the marriage of the victim-deceased was earlier solemnized with one Tejinder Singh Kohli in the year 2009. However, in the year 2022, the aforesaid person Tejinder Singh committed suicide by jumping into the canal. Thereafter, the marriage of the victim was again solemnized with one Paramjeet Singh

Khurana in January 2023. However, said marriage was also dissolved by mutual consent of parties. Thereafter, the marriage of the petitioner with victim was solemnized in December 2023. The place of occurrence is at Nangal. The victim committed suicide at the same place where her first husband Tejinder Singh Kohli committed suicide by jumping into the canal.

It is further contended that the FIR was registered at the instance of the mother of the deceased and at the time of the alleged occurrence, the deceased was living with her parents. The petitioner, as well as, his mother, both were nominated as accused in the FIR and the mother of the petitioner has also been granted the concession of anticipatory by the learned Sessions Judge, Rupnagar.”

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that in compliance of order dated 22.05.2024, the petitioner has joined investigation and cooperated with the investigating agency.

4. Learned State counsel, on instructions, does not dispute the factum of the petitioner having joined investigation and cooperated with the investigating agency. He, on further instructions, submits that the petitioner is not required for further investigation much less for his custodial interrogation.

5. In view of the above, the petition is allowed and interim order dated 22.05.2024, is made absolute subject to the conditions laid down in Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.

August 13th, 2025

Puneet

(MANJARI NEHRU KAUL)

JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No