

2025:PHHC:069231



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

129

CRM-M-27836-2025

Date of decision: 22.05.2025

Jaimal Singh**...Petitioner****VERSUS****State of Haryana****...Respondent****CORAM : HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE SUKHVINDER KAUR**

Present: Mr. Gautam Dutt, Advocate,
Mr. Sukhsharan Sra, Advocate and
Mr. Shivam Kaushik, Advocate for the petitioner.

SUKHVINDER KAUR, J.(ORAL)

Present petition has been filed under Section 528, BNSS, 2023, for quashing of order dated 07.05.2025 passed by learned JMIC, Ambala, vide which the bail order and surety bonds of the petitioner have been cancelled and forfeited to the State and he was summoned through non-bailable in case FIR No.192 dated 28.07.2026 under Sections 120B, 148, 149, 323, 324, 326, 341, 427, 506 IPC, Police Station Mahesh Nagar, District Ambala.

The relevant facts of the present case for adjudication are that the petitioner is facing trial in the afore-said case and was granted bail by learned Additional Sessions Judge, Amabala on 01.03.2024. On 07.05.2025, the petitioner became absent before the trial Court and his non-bailable warrants were ordered to be issued by the trial Court and his bail bonds and surety bonds were cancelled and forfeited to State.

It has been contended by learned counsel for the petitioner that

the petitioner is disabled person who lost his leg during Kargil operation and was earlier regularly appearing before the trial Court and the absence of the petitioner was not intentional but was due to his illness. Though he moved an application seeking exemption on 30.04.2025 and prayed for adjourning the date beyond 10.05.2025 as he was advised bed rest by the doctor, but his request was not entertained. Learned counsel has submitted that the petitioner is ready to surrender before the trial Court, therefore, the impugned order dated 07.05.2025 be set aside.

Heard.

Considering the facts and circumstances of the present case and taking note of the fact that trial of the case is likely to take time and no useful purpose is likely to be served by sending him behind the bars, the impugned order dated 07.05.2025 is set aside. The petitioner is directed to surrender and appear before the trial Court within 10 days and on doing so, he would be released on bail on furnishing his fresh bail/surety bonds to the satisfaction of the Court concerned and with the undertaking to appear regularly before the trial Court on each and every date of hearing.

Disposed of in the aforesaid terms.

22.05.2025
jyoti3

(SUKHVINDER KAUR)
JUDGE

Whether reasoned/speaking?
Whether reportable?

Yes/No
Yes/No