



228 **IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-15439-2024
Date of Decision: 05.09.2025

Sonu Alias Sonu Bekri Wala ...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab ...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE AARADHNA SAWHNEY

Present: Mr. Adarshdeep Singh, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. Gautam Thapar, Sr. DAG, Punjab.

AARADHNA SAWHNEY, J. (ORAL)

1. Petitioner, namely, Sonu @ Sonu Bekri Wala, has filed the present petition for quashing of the order dated 25.01.2024 (Annexure P-3) passed by the Ld. Additional Sessions Judge, Faridkot vide which application u/s 319 Cr.PC for summoning of petitioner as additional accused, was allowed in FIR No.16 dated 14.01.2023 under Sections 302/341/307/324/323/148/149 of IPC and Sections 25/27/57/59 of the Arms Act, 1959 registered at Police Station City Faridkot, District Faridkot.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner, at the outset, submits that trial in the present case before the Ld. trial Court has been concluded, therefore, the instant petition has become infructuous.

3. Heard.

4. In view thereof, the present petition is dismissed as having been rendered infructuous.

05.09.2025

Parveen kumar

(AARADHNA SAWHNEY)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned :Yes/No
Whether reportable :Yes/No