

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

ARB-112-2017 (O&M)

Date of decision:- 29.09.2017

Varinder Kumar

...Petitioner

Versus

Punjab Urban Planning & Development Authority, Mohali.

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE S.J. VAZIFDAR, CHIEF JUSTICE

Present:- Mr. Gourave Bhayya Gilhotra, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Aman Sharma, Advocate,
for the respondent.

* * * *

S.J. VAZIFDAR, C.J. (ORAL)

This is a petition under Section 11 of the Arbitration and Conciliation Act, 1996 for the appointment of an arbitrator.

2. The parties had entered into a contract which contains the following clause:-

"Disputes

Subject to the provisions of the Act, all the disputes and/or differences which arise in any manner touching or concerning this allotment shall be referred to the Sole Arbitrator, Chief Administrator, Punjab Urban Planning & Development Authority (PUDA) or any person appointed/nominated by him in this behalf. The award of such Arbitrator shall be final and binding on the parties. Arbitration shall be governed by the Arbitration and Conciliation Act, 1996 as amended from time to time."

3. The petitioner in fact referred the disputes and differences to the sole arbitration of the Chief Administrator of the respondent. The petitioner even today not only has no objection to the appointment of the Chief Administrator as an arbitrator, but consents to his acting as the sole arbitrator.

4. In view thereof, the petition is disposed of by appointing/confirming the appointment of the Chief Administrator, Punjab Urban Planning & Development Authority (PUDA) as the sole arbitrator to adjudicate upon the disputes and differences between the parties.

5. If the issue is as narrow as the petitioner contends, then I am confident that the arbitrator would dispose of the matter as expeditiously as possible.

(S.J. VAZIFDAR)
CHIEF JUSTICE

29.09.2017

Amodh

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No