



**CRR-185-2025(O&M)**  
**CRM-M-42924-2025**

1

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
 AT CHANDIGARH**

**277** **CRR-185-2025(O&M)**

**Ram Mehar and another** **.....Petitioners**

**Versus**

**State of Haryana and others** **.....Respondents**

**277-2** **CRM-M-42924-2025**

**Ram Mehar and another** **.....Petitioners**

**Versus**

**State of Haryana and others** **.....Respondents**

**Decided on :24.09.2025**

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY VASHISTH**

Present: Mr. Sandeep Saini, Advocate for the petitioner(s).

Mr. Amish Sharma, Asst. A.G., Haryana.

Ms. Neha Randhawa, Advocate for respondents No. 2 and 3.

**SANJAY VASHISTH, J.**

This order shall dispose of the aforementioned petitions, as they arise from a common FIR i.e. 0076 dated 04.03.2013, under Sections 323, 324, 34 IPC, registered at Police Station Uchana, District Jind, Haryana. The facts of the case have been taken from CRM-M-42924-205.

1. Petitioners, namely Ram Mehar and Ramesh Chander, who were accused, were convicted by the learned Judicial Magistrate First Class, Narwana, vide judgment dated 26.09.2018 for offences under Sections 323, 324, and 34 of the IPC. They were accordingly sentenced as follows:



**CRR-185-2025(O&M)**  
**CRM-M-42924-2025**

2

<b>Under Sections</b>	<b>Imprisonment of Sentence</b>	<b>Fine</b>	<b>Imprisonment in default of fine</b>
323/34 IPC	Six months rigorous imprisonment	-	-
324/34 IPC	Six months rigorous imprisonment	-	-

2. In the appeal filed by the accused-petitioners, learned Additional Sessions Judge, Jind, by judgment dated 13.01.2025, upheld the conviction and confirmed the sentence. Consequently, petitioners have challenged the judgment of conviction on merits before this Court by filing CRR-185-2025.

3. During the pendency of the aforementioned revision petition, the parties amicably resolved their dispute and entered into a written compromise dated 17.07.2025. Pursuant to this compromise, a separate petition bearing CRM-M-42942-2025 was filed under Section 528 of the BNSS, seeking the quashing of the First Information Report (FIR) detailed below, along with all consequential proceedings arising therefrom, based on the compromise dated 17.07.2025 (P-2) executed between the parties.

**DETAILS OF CRIMINAL CASE:-**

<b>FIR No.</b>	<b>Date</b>	<b>Section(s)</b>	<b>Police Station</b>
<b>0076</b>	<b>04.03.2013</b>	<b>323, 324, 34 IPC</b>	<b>Uchana, District Jind Haryana</b>



**CRR-185-2025(O&M)**  
**CRM-M-42924-2025**

3

2. Vide order dated 07.08.2025, the affected parties were directed to appear before the learned Trial Court/Illaq Magistrate for the recording of their respective statements regarding the compromise, by passing the following order:

*“1. Present petition under Section 528 BNSS, has been filed for quashing of the FIR No.0076 dated 04.03.2013, under Sections 323, 324, 34 of IPC, registered at Police Station Uchana, District Jind, Haryana (Annexure P-1) and all the consequential proceedings arising therefrom, on the basis of the compromise dated 17.07.2025 (Annexure P-4), effected between the parties.*

*2. Petitioners have though been convicted and sentenced for the offences under Sections 323 and 324 IPC for a period of six months. The said conviction has been maintained by both the Courts below. Hence CRR185-2025 has been filed, which is pending for 24.09.2025 before this Court.*

*3. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that all the parties to the dispute, already arrayed as parties in the present petition, have amicably resolved their dispute through compromise dated 17.07.2025 (Annexure P-4). Therefore, if proceedings arising from the aforementioned FIR, and all the consequential proceedings arising therefrom, are quashed, all the parties and their family members will be able to live their lives peacefully.*

*4. Notice of motion.*

*5. On asking of the Court, learned State counsel, who is present in the Court, accepts notice on behalf of the respondent-State.*



**CRR-185-2025(O&M)**  
**CRM-M-42924-2025**

4

6. *Ms. Neha Randhawa, Advocate, puts in appearance on behalf of the respondents No.2 and 3, and files his Power of Attorney, which is taken on record.*

7. *The affected parties are directed to appear before the learned Trial Court/Illaqa Magistrate/Duty Magistrate/Area Magistrate, on 22.08.2025 or on any other date convenient to the Court, for getting their respective statements recorded with regard to the compromise. Thereupon, the concerned Court shall submit a detailed report, containing the information on the following points, along with copies of the statements to this Court, on or before the adjourned date:-*

<b>Sr. No.</b>	<b>Information required</b>
<b>I.</b>	<b>Total number of persons found involved as accused in the dispute/FIR</b>
<b>II.</b>	<b>Number of complainant/victim(s)</b>
<b>III.</b>	<b>Whether all the accused and complainant / victims are party to compromise &amp; signed the same</b>
<b>IV.</b>	<b>In case, any affected person (accused or complainant) is left out or not arrayed as party in the quashing petition before High Court, detail whereof; OR</b>
	<b>His/her statement is still to be recorded, in compliance to the direction of this Court, details of such person</b>
<b>V.</b>	<b>Whether any accused has been declared as a proclaimed offender/person or any such proceedings against him/her have been initiated or pending adjudication</b>
<b>VI.</b>	<b>Report of the Court whether compromise is genuine, voluntary, and without any coercion or undue influence</b>
<b>VII.</b>	<b>Any other aspect relevant to the present case.</b>



**CRR-185-2025(O&M)**  
**CRM-M-42924-2025**

5

8. *To come up on 24.09.2025, awaiting report.*
9. *Reply by the respondent-State, if any, be filed on or before the next date of hearing.*
10. *To be heard along with CRR-185-2025.”*

3. Report dated 22.08.2025 has been received from learned Judicial Magistrate First Class, Narwana, in pursuance to the directions of this Court, wherein, the factum of the compromise arrived at between the parties stands verified and confirmed. As per the report(s) compromise has indeed been effected between the parties and the same is without any pressure or coercion and out of their free will and the private respondents have also made statement to the effect that they would have no objection if the FIR qua the accused-petitioners is quashed.

4. The trial Court has annexed the statements of the parties in original, along with its report. The relevant part of the said report is reproduced herebelow:

<b>Sr. No.</b>	<b>Description</b>	
<b>1.</b>	<b>Total number of persons found involved as accused in the dispute/FIR</b>	<b>Three accused</b>
<b>2.</b>	<b>Number of complainant/victim(s)</b>	<b>Two complainant/victim</b>
<b>3.</b>	<b>Whether all the accused and complainant / victims are party to compromise &amp; signed the same</b>	<b>Yes</b>
<b>4.</b>	<b>In case, any affected person(accused or complainant) is left out or not arrayed as party in the</b>	<b>No complainant/accused has been left out in the petition and all have been arrayed as party in the petition. The third</b>



CRR-185-2025(O&M)  
CRM-M-42924-2025

6

	<b>quashing petition before High Court, detail whereas; Or His/her statement is till to be recorded, in compliance to the direction of this Court, details of such person</b>	<b>accused namely, Santro has already died and proceedings against her dropped during trial itself vide order dated 16.02.2018</b>
5.	<b>Whether any accused has been declared as a proclaimed offender/person or any such proceedings against him/her have been initiated or pending adjudication</b>	<b>No</b>
6.	<b>Report of the Court whether compromise is genuine, voluntary, and without any coercion or undue influence</b>	<b>Yes</b>
7.	<b>Any other aspect relevant to the present case.</b>	<b>Noting worth noticing reported</b>

5. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that petitioners are the only named accused in the FIR in question, and that the private respondent is complainant/aggrieved party therein.

6. Learned State counsel has filed reply by way of affidavit of Sanjay Kumar, HPS, Deputy Superintendent of Police, Uchana, District Jind, in Court today. Same is taken on record. Registry is directed to tag the same at appropriate place.

Learned State counsel and counsel appearing for respondents No. 2 and 3 also do not dispute the aforesaid factual position and affirms the factum of compromise as genuine one.

7. In view of the report of the learned Judicial Magistrate First class, Narwana and having been accepted by the respondent regarding compromise, the principles laid down by Hon'ble the Apex Court in *Gian*



**CRR-185-2025(O&M)**  
**CRM-M-42924-2025**

7

*Singh Vs. State of Punjab and others (2012) 10 SCC 303*, and also by the Full Bench of this Court in *Kulwinder Singh and others Vs. State of Punjab and another, 2007(3) RCR (Criminal) 1052*, aforesaid FIR and all consequential proceedings arising out of it, are hereby quashed.

8. In view of the amicable settlement reached between the parties, the FIR in question having been quashed, impugned judgment of conviction and order of sentence, passed by both the courts below are being declared hereby inoperative and of no consequence for all the intent and purposes. Thus, petition i.e. CRM-M-42924-2025 is allowed. Resultantly, CRR No. 185-2025 is rendered infructuous.

9. Needless to say the parties shall remain bound by the terms of compromise and their statements recorded before the Court below

10. A photocopy of this order be placed on the file of another connected case.

**24.09.2025**  
*rashmi*

**(SANJAY VASHISTH)**  
**JUDGE**

Whether Speaking/Reasoned: **YES/NO**  
Whether Reportable: **YES/NO**