



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

110

**LPA-2474-2025 (O&M)
Decided on : 26.08.2025**

NEHA SHARMA

. .Appellant

Versus

STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS

. . . Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS SURI**

PRESENT: Mr. Akshay Jindal, Advocate for the appellant.

Mr. Sandeep Chabra, Additional A.G, Haryana.

Mr. Fateh Saini, Caveator/respondents.

HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI , J. (Oral)

1. After arguing for some time, learned counsel for the appellant submits that though the appellant has challenged the order of suspension dated 01.07.2025 (Annexure P-6), passed by Id. Deputy Commissioner, but as the appellant wants that the enquiry proceedings initiated against her to be completed in a time bound manner, hence, the appellant will be satisfied at this stage, in case a direction is issued to the respondents to conclude the enquiry proceedings in a time bound manner so that the appellant does not suffer indefinite prejudice due to suspension already ordered against her vide order dated 01.07.2025 (Annexure P-6).

2. Learned State counsel submits that the enquiry proceedings initiated against the appellant will be concluded by the authorities concerned within the period of three months from today by following due process as envisaged under law and by also giving due opportunity of hearing to the



LPA-2474-2025 (O&M)

-2-

appellant to project her case that the allegations alleged against her are incorrect.

3. Learned counsel for the appellant further submits that keeping in view the above, the present appeal may kindly be disposed of having been not pressed any further.

4. Ordered accordingly.

5. Pending civil miscellaneous application, if any, stands disposed of.

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

(VIKAS SURI)
JUDGE

26.08.2025

Riya

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No

Whether Reportable: Yes/No