**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

227

CRM-M-14353-2024
Date of decision: 05.09.2025

Lalit Kumar Jhamb and another ...Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and another ...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE AARADHNA SAWHNEY

Present : Mr. Vinay Kumar Arya, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. Vikram Singh, AAG, Haryana.

Mr. Gaurav Vir Singh Behl, Advocate for respondent No.2.

*****

AARADHNA SAWHNEY, J.(ORAL)

1. Both, learned counsel for the petitioners and counsel for respondent No.2 have stated that on account of non-appearance of respondent No.2, statement of the parties could not be recorded before the learned trial Court.

2. It has further been intimated that petitioner No.1 died in 2024. However, amended memo of parties has not been placed on record. Further, a perusal of the proceedings as recorded in the order sheets reveals that the petition for quashing of the FIR, on the basis of compromise, had been filed on 24.03.2024. Thereafter, in terms of order dated 04.11.2024, parties were directed to appear before the learned trial Court. Present on the case file is the report dated 27.02.2025 of the trial Court, vide which the parties had requested for grant of extension of time to get their respective statements recorded. Subsequently thereto, even on 24.04.2025, complainant-respondent No.2 was not present, resultantly, his statement could not be recorded.

HAMANT
2025.09.05 18:32
I attest to the accuracy and
integrity of this document

3. It is in light of the factual backdrop as mentioned hereinabove, that the learned counsel for the petitioners seeks permission to withdraw the present petition to file afresh on the same cause of action.

4. Dismissed as withdrawn with liberty aforesaid.

(AARADHNA SAWHNEY)
JUDGE

05.09.2025
Hemant

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes / No
Whether reportable : Yes / No

HAMANT
2025.09.05 18:32
I attest to the accuracy and
integrity of this document