



CRWP-7058-2025

1

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH**

118

CRWP-7058-2025  
Decided on: 04.07.2025

Vansh and another

.....Petitioners

**Versus**

State of Punjab and others

.....Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY VASHISTH**

Present: Mr. Deepak Kumar, Advocate and  
Mr. Ravinder Chaudhary, Advocate  
for the petitioners.

Mr. Neeraj Madan, Sr. DAG, Punjab.  
(appeared on advance notice)

\*\*\*\*

**SANJAY VASHISTH, J.**

1. By filing present petition, under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, petitioners seek necessary protection of their lives and personal liberty in view of the fact that **they are living in a live-in relationship** and are under eminent threats at the hands of respondents No.4 to 7.

**PARTICULARS OF PETITIONERS:**

<b>Petitioner No.</b>	<b>Name &amp; parantage</b>	<b>DoB or Age</b>
<b>1.</b>	<b>Vansh s/o Naval Kishor</b>	<b>19 years</b>
<b>2.</b>	<b>Nidhi d/o Ravinder Kumar</b>	<b>19 years</b>

2. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that although both petitioners are major, petitioner No.1 is not of marriageable age. The petitioners are living in a live-in relationship against the wishes of their family members, who are allegedly threatening them and interfering in their personal lives. Hence, the petitioners are seeking protection in that regard and have approached this Court by way of filing the instant petition. They have also submitted a representation dated 30.06.2025

**CRWP-7058-2025**

2

(Annexure P-3), wherein, they have expressed their apprehension. Learned counsel submits that the representation was addressed to the Senior Superintendent of Police, Gurdaspur, but inadvertently and due to typographical mistake, instead of mentioning the designation of respondent No.2 as ‘Senior Superintendent of Police, Gurdaspur’, it has been wrongly mentioned as ‘Commissioner of Police, Gurdaspur’. The said error is totally unintentional and thus, prays that appropriate directions for considering the representation submitted by the petitioners to protect their life and liberty, may be issued to the Senior Superintendent of Police, Gurdaspur.

3. Notice of motion to the official respondents only.

4. On asking of the Court, learned State counsel accepts notice on behalf of the respondent – State. Let requisite copies of the complete paper book be supplied to learned State counsel during course of the day.

5. In view of the above, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to The Senior Superintendent of Police, Gurdaspur, to look into the representation dated 30.06.2025 (Annexure P-3), *qua* threat perception, and if there is any substance in it, take necessary steps, in accordance with law, to ensure that the lives and liberty of the petitioners are not jeopardized at the hands of the private respondents.

6. However, this direction will not validate the live-in status between the petitioners and will have no effect on any civil or criminal action, which could be initiated in the matter in accordance with law.

**(SANJAY VASHISTH)**  
**JUDGE**

**July 04, 2025**  
rashmi

Whether Speaking/Reasoned: **YES/NO**

Whether Reportable: **YES/NO**