



238

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-35254-2025

Date of Decision:11.07.2025

GURMUKH SINGH @ SABI DANNA

...PETITIONER

VS.

STATE OF PUNJAB

...RESPONDENT

Coram : Hon'ble Mr. Justice N.S.Shekhawat

Present : Mr. Himanshu Rajput, Advocate for
Mr. Umesh Aggarwal, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. M.S. Bajwa, DAG, Punjab.

N.S.Shekhawat J. (Oral)

1. The petitioner has filed the present petition under Section 483 BNSS with a prayer to grant regular bail to him in case FIR No.82 dated 05.05.2025, registered under Sections 109, 125, 115(2), 351(2) of BNS, 2023 & Section 25, 54, 59 of Arms Act, 1959, Police Station Chheharta, Amritsar.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the petitioner has been wrongly named in the present case and has been involved in this case due to a business dispute. It has been alleged that the petitioner had fired a gun shot, but no one had suffered injury in the present case. The petitioner was arrested in the present case on 05.05.2025 and is in custody for the last more than 02 months. Now, the investigation is almost complete and further custody of the petitioner will not serve any useful purpose.



3. On the other hand, learned State counsel has vehemently opposed the submissions made by learned counsel for the petitioner on the ground that serious allegations have been levelled against the present petitioner and he does not deserve the concession of bail by this Court. However, he admits that the petitioner is not required in any other case.

4. I have heard the learned counsel for the parties and perused the record carefully.

5. In the present case, the petitioner is stated to be in custody for the last more than 02 months and the pistol, which was allegedly used in the commission of crime has been recovered from him. Even no one suffered any injury in the present case. Thus, the further custody of the petitioner will not serve any useful purpose.

6. Without commenting on the merits of the case, the present petition is allowed and the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail subject to his furnishing bail bonds/surety bonds to the satisfaction of the trial Court/Duty Magistrate/Chief Judicial Magistrate, concerned.

11.07.2025
vipin

(N.S. SHEKHAWAT)
JUDGE

Whether reasoned/speaking : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No