

242 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

2025.PHHC:123293



CRM-M-4295-2025

Date of Decision:09.09.2025

AMANDEEP SAHOTA

...Petitioner

Vs.

STATE OF PUNJAB AND OTHERS

...Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMAN CHAUDHARY

Present: Mr. Raj Karan Singh, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Manipal Singh Atwal, DAG, Punjab.

AMAN CHAUDHARY, J. (Oral)

1. Learned State counsel refers to para 7 of the reply filed by way of affidavit of the Deputy Superintendent of Police, Sub Division City-1, District SAS Nagar, which reads thus:

That vide the present petition, the petitioner has prayed for issuance of directions to the respondent No. 3 to not harass and implicate the petitioner on the complaint given by respondent No. 4 and further, for directing respondent No. 2 to take action on the representation dated 02.01.2023 (Annexure P-6).

In response to the same, it is most humbly submitted that the petitioner was never harassed by respondent No. 3 at any stage rather, he was called with regard to the inquiry of complaints No. 4619/S/SSP/SAS Nagar dated 26.08.2024 and No. 4761/Peshi/SSP dated 23.10.2024. It is relevant to mention here that after completion of preliminary inquiry into the aforesaid complaints, the petitioner was found to have committed the offence u/s 385 IPC and based upon the recommendation for registration of FIR against the petitioner, FIR No. 87 (supra) has been registered against the petitioner at PS Sadar Phagwara, District Kapurthala which is under investigation. Apart from the above, the representation of the petitioner (Annexure P-6) has already been looked into and finding no separate action required on the same, the same stands filed/closed in the office of SSP, District SAS Nagar.



2. In view of the above, no further orders are required to be passed and, as such, the present petition stands disposed of.

**(AMAN CHAUDHARY)
JUDGE**

09.09.2025

kv

Whether speaking/reasoned : *Yes/No*
Whether reportable : *Yes/No*