



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

104

CRM-M-29423-2025

Date of decision: 27.05.2025

KULRA MAHANT ALIAS RAJU, CHELA SHEELA MAHANT

...Petitioner

V/s

STATE OF PUNJAB

....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE H.S.GREWAL

Present: Mr.Bipan Ghai, Senior Advocate with
Mr.Nikhil Ghai, Mr.Nikhil Thamman and
Ms.Malini Singh, Advocates for the petitioner.

Mr.Kamalpreet Bawa, DAG, Punjab.

Mr.Manbir Singh Basra, Advocate,
for the complainant.

H.S.GREWAL, J. (ORAL)

1. This is a petition for anticipatory bail filed under Section 482 of the Bhartiya Nagrik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 in case FIR No.96 dated 27.04.2025 under Sections 115(2), 118(1), 126(2), 109, 191(3), 190, 351(3) of the Bhartiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023 registered at Police Station Balongi, District SAS Nagar Mohali.

2. The case of the prosecution is that the petitioner and complainant party being Transgenders had a conflict over the area of operation and the injuries were caused to the complainant by the petitioner along with co-accused. The petitioner was alleged to have carrying a *Dattar* and had caused a grievous injury on the head of the complainant.



3. Learned Senior counsel for the petitioner submits that it is the case of the prosecution that the petitioner along with co-accused, who are transgenders(s), had a dispute with the complainant party with regard to territory of operation and the injuries were caused to the complainant party. Learned Senior counsel also submits that the petitioner has not attributed any grievous injury to the complainant party. He further submits that the petitioner is ready to join the investigation.

4. Notice of motion.

5. On the asking of the Court Mr.Kamalpreet Bawa, DAG, Punjab accepts notice on behalf of the State.

6. Learned counsel for the State has opposed the submissions made by the learned counsel for the petitioner by stating that the petitioner had caused a serious injury on the person of the complainant which turned to be fatal in nature.

7. Keeping in view the serious nature of allegations levelled against the petitioner, the concession of anticipatory bail cannot be granted to the petitioner.

8. Accordingly, the present petition stands dismissed.

May 27, 2025
poonam

(H.S.GREWAL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned:
Whether reportable:

Yes/No
Yes/No