

226 IOIN-1-CRA-AS-416-2024

IN CRA-AS-416-2024

KING FINANCE SERVICES PVT. LTD. VERSUS STATE OF PUNJAB
AND ANOTHER

Present: None.

:-

Appellant – King Finance Services Pvt. Ltd. had approached this Court by filing the an application, bearing CRM-A-2239-MA-2018, under Section 378(4) Cr.P.C., seeking grant of leave to appeal against the judgment of acquittal dated 15.05.2018, passed by learned Judicial Magistrate Ist Class, Ludhiana, in Complaint case bearing No. CRM-52675 of 2013, CrI. No. 194, instituted on 30.08.2013, under Section 138 of the Negotiable Instruments Act, 1881, titled as ‘King Financial Services Pvt. Ltd. v. Mandeep Singh’, whereby the complaint filed by the applicant/appellant has been dismissed by acquitting the accused.

The said application was allowed by a Co-ordinate Bench of this Court, vide order dated 28.11.2024. Accordingly, Criminal Appeal No. CRA-AS-416-2024 was assigned to this case.

However, in the order 13.08.2025, case number has been mentioned as ‘CRM-A-416-2024’ instead of ‘CRA-AS-416-2024’, on all the pages of the order. Accordingly, this case has been listed today for correction of the case number appearing on different pages of the order dated 13.08.2025.

Since the factum of allowing the application for grant of leave to appeal, vide order dated 28.11.2024, by a Co-ordinate Bench of this Court, has also not been incorporated in the order dated 13.08.2025, passed by this Court, it is imperative for this Court to modify para Nos. 1

and 6 of the order dated 13.08.2025, suitably while carrying out correction in the case number of the present case.

Accordingly, it is ordered that para Nos. 1 and 6 of the order dated 13.08.2025, be substituted and read as under:

Para No. 1:

“1. Appellant – King Finance Services Pvt. Ltd. had approached this Court by filing the an application, bearing CRM-A-2239-MA-2018, under Section 378(4) Cr.P.C., seeking grant of leave to appeal against the judgment of acquittal dated 15.05.2018, passed by learned Judicial Magistrate Ist Class, Ludhiana, in Complaint case bearing No. 52675 of 2013, CrI. No. 194, instituted on 30.08.2013, under Section 138 of the Negotiable Instruments Act, 1881, titled as ‘King Financial Services Pvt. Ltd. v. Mandeep Singh’, whereby the complaint filed by the applicant/appellant has been dismissed by acquitting the accused.

The said application was allowed by a Co-ordinate Bench of this Court, vide order dated 28.11.2024. Accordingly, Criminal Appeal No. CRA-AS-416-2024 was assigned to this case.”

Para No. 6:

“6. Accordingly, the present appeal is disposed of by directing the learned Sessions Judge, Ludhiana, to treat this appeal as an appeal filed under Section 372 of the Cr.P.C. (corresponding Section 413 of BNSS, 2023) and entrust the same to any appropriate Court to try the same. The concerned Court shall decide the appeal on merits as per law, as expeditiously as possible.”

IOIN-1-CRA-AS-416-2024
IN CRA-AS-416-2024

-3-

Other than this, case number of the present case, appearing in the order dated 13.08.2025, be read as 'CRA-AS-416-2024' instead of 'CRM-A-416-2024'.

After carrying out necessary corrections in the order dated 13.08.2025, and by making today's order as part thereof, the correct order dated 13.08.2025 be uploaded on the web-portal of this Court.

IOIN-1-CRA-AS-416-2024 in CRA-AS-416-2024 stands disposed of.

(SANJAY VASHISTH)
JUDGE

August 29, 2025
Pkapoor



CRA-AS-416-2024 (O&M)

1

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

230

CRA-AS-416-2024 (O&M)
Date of Decision: August 13, 2025

King Finance Services Pvt. Ltd.

.....Applicant(s)

Versus

State of Punjab and another

.....Non-applicant(s)/Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY VASHISTH

Present: Mr. S.S. Behl, Advocate, and
Ms. Raageshwari Sharma, Advocate,
for the appellant.

SANJAY VASHISTH, J.

1. Appellant – King Finance Services Pvt. Ltd. had approached this Court by filing the an application, bearing CRM-A-2239-MA-2018, under Section 378(4) Cr.P.C., seeking grant of leave to appeal against the judgment of acquittal dated 15.05.2018, passed by learned Judicial Magistrate Ist Class, Ludhiana, in Complaint case bearing No. 52675 of 2013, CrI. No. 194, instituted on 30.08.2013, under Section 138 of the Negotiable Instruments Act, 1881, titled as ‘King Financial Services Pvt. Ltd. v. Mandeep Singh’, whereby the complaint filed by the applicant/appellant has been dismissed by acquitting the accused.

The said application was allowed by a Co-ordinate Bench of this Court, vide order dated 28.11.2024. Accordingly, Criminal Appeal No. CRA-AS-416-2024 was assigned to this case.

2. Shorn off the factual matrix of the present case, it is suffice to notice that in the recent mandate of law laid down by Hon’ble the Apex Court, in the case of **M/s Celestium Financial v. A. Gnanasekaran etc.** [Criminal Appeal Nos. 1868-70 of 2025, decided on 08.04.2025, reported as 2025 (3) RCR (Criminal) 208 : Law Finder Doc Id # 2737710 : 2025 SCC OnLine SC 1320], their Lordships’ have answered the issue in affirmative that whether an appeal



would be maintainable under the proviso to Section 372 Cr.P.C. (corresponding Section 413 of BNSS, 2023), against an order of acquittal passed in a case instituted upon a private complaint, by treating the complainant in such a proceeding as a 'victim' within the meaning ascribed to the term under Section 2(wa) of the Cr.P.-C.

3. After encapsulating in detail the provisions of Sections 2(d), (n) & (wa), 24, 200, 372, 377, 378, 386 of the Cr.P.C.; Sections 138, 139, 141, 142, 143 and 147 of the Negotiable Instruments Act, 1881; and the earlier view point of the Hon'ble Supreme Court taken in the case of **Mallikarjun Kodagali (dead) represented through Legal representative v. State of Karnataka, (2019) 2 SCC 752**, an ongoing debate whether the right of the victim to file an appeal against acquittal in a complaint case would fall under Section 372 or Section 378(4) of Cr.P.C., has been put to rest. Succinctly, in **Celestium Financial's case (supra)** it has been held that the 'victim' has a right to file an appeal under Section 372 of Cr.P.C. before the Court of Sessions.

4. It is apposite to mention here that the judgment in the case of **Celestium Financial (supra)** has been followed and relied upon by this Court in the case of **M/s Associated Road Carriers Limited v. Manjit Singh and others (CRM-A-885-MA-2013, decided on 07.07.2025)** as well as by a Co-ordinate Bench of this Court in the case of **Satish Kumar v. Jugal Kishor (CRM-A-2700-MA-2018, decided on 02.07.2025)**.

5. Having gone through the recent mandate of Hon'ble the Apex Court in **Celestium Financial's case (supra)**, and the view taken by this Court in the case of **M/s Associated Road Carriers Limited (supra)** as well as by a Co-ordinate Bench of this Court in the case of **Satish Kumar (supra)**, there exists no ground to take a different view in the present case.

6. Accordingly, the present appeal is disposed of by directing the learned Sessions Judge, Ludhiana, to treat this appeal as an appeal filed under Section 372 of the Cr.P.C. (corresponding Section 413 of BNSS, 2023) and entrust the same to any appropriate Court to try the

**CRA-AS-416-2024 (O&M)****3**

same. The concerned Court shall decide the appeal on merits as per law, as expeditiously as possible.

Since similar directions are being passed by this Court in number of cases, the concerned Appellate Court need not to adhere to the delay aspect, if any, involved in the case, for the purpose of considering the issue raised in the appeal and its disposal on merit.

7. The Registry is directed to transmit this order alongwith copy of the complete paper-book of this case, as also return the record of the Trial Court, if received, to the learned Sessions Judge, Ludhiana, forthwith.

8. Disposed of accordingly.

9. Pending miscellaneous application(s), if any, also stands disposed of.

**(SANJAY VASHISTH)
JUDGE**

August 13, 2025

Pk Kapoor

<i>Whether speaking/reasoned</i>	<i>Yes</i>
Whether reportable	No