



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT  
CHANDIGARH**

217

**CRM-M-29968-2025**  
**Date of decision: 25.07.2025**

Amarjit Singh and others

....Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab

....Respondent

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARPREET SINGH BRAR**

**Present:** Mr. Navkiran Singh, Advocate  
for the petitioners.

Mr. Sandeep Kumar, DAG, Punjab.

**HARPREET SINGH BRAR J. (Oral)**

1. This petition has been filed under Section 482 of BNSS, 2023, seeking anticipatory bail to the petitioners in DDR No.16 dated 22.07.2020 under Sections 452, 323, 324, 148, 149 IPC, registered in FIR No.226 dated 21.07.2020 under Sections 323, 324, 326, 148, 149 of IPC, lodged at Police Station Jandiala, District Amritsar Rural.

2. On 28.05.2025, the following order was passed:-

“XX XX XX XX

*Learned counsel for the petitioners, inter alia, contends that it is a case of version and cross-version. The petitioners' side and the complainant side are collateral and also neighbours, having a common wall. Further, with the intervention of the respectables, every efforts were made to settle the dispute amicably, however, the compromise (Annexure P-3), which was effected on 31.03.2025, was not implemented by the complainant*



*party. Furthermore, the injuries attributed to the petitioners are simple in nature, whereas injury No.2 suffered by petitioner No.1, at the hands of complainant side, has been declared as grievous.*

*Notice of motion for 25.07.2025.*

*Keeping in view the ratio of law enunciated by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in **Satender Kumar Antil Vs. CBI (2022) 10 SCC 51; Siddharam Satlingappa Mhetre Vs. State of Maharashtra and others 2010 SCC OnLine SC 137; Gurbaksh Singh Sibbia etc. Vs. State of Punjab (1980) 2 SCC 565, Arnesh Kumar Vs. State of Bihar (2014) 8 SCC 273 and Sushila Aggarwal Vs. State of NCT Delhi 2020 (1) RCR (Criminal) 833**, the petitioners are directed to appear before Investigating Officer within a period of two weeks from today and thereafter, as directed by the Investigating Officer. In the event of arrest, the petitioners will be admitted to interim anticipatory bail on furnishing bail/surety bonds to the satisfaction of Investigating/Arresting Officer. The petitioners will cooperate with the investigation/Arresting Officer and abide by the conditions as provided under Section 482(2) of BNSS (erstwhile Section 438(2) of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973).*

*If the Arresting Officer does not permit the petitioners to join the investigation, they would appear before learned Illaqa Magistrate, who would then summon the Arresting Officer and direct him to join the petitioners in the investigation, in terms of the order of this Court.*

*Nothing observed hereinabove shall be construed as an expression of opinion by this Court and learned trial Court shall decide the case on its own merits, strictly in accordance with law.”*



3. Learned State counsel, on instructions from ASI Balkar Singh, at the very outset, informs the Court that the petitioners have joined the investigation and their custodial interrogation is not required.

4. In view of the statement of learned State counsel, order dated 28.05.2025 is hereby made absolute. The petitioners shall abide by the terms and conditions envisaged under Section 482(2) of BNSS (*erstwhile Section 438(2) of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973*).

5. The petition stands disposed of.

**(HARPREET SINGH BRAR)**  
**JUDGE**

**25.07.2025**

*yakub*

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No

Whether reportable: Yes/No