

2025:PHHC:020034



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M-10288-2025  
Decided on :28.02.2025**

**Karan Verma** **...Petitioner**

**Versus**

**State of Haryana and another** **...Respondents**

**Coram :** **Hon'ble Mr. Justice Sanjay Vashisth**

**Present:** Mr. M.K.Panchal, Advocate, and  
Mr. Pankaj Kumar Kaul, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Ms. Mayuri Lakhanpal, DAG, Haryana.

\*\*\*\*

**Sanjay Vashisth, J. (Oral)**

1. The instant petition has been filed under Section 483 of BNSS, 2023 (earlier Section 439 Cr.P.C.), for grant of regular bail to the petitioner, during the pendency of trial, who has been booked in a criminal case arising out of First Information Report, as detailed hereunder:-

<b>Name of Petitioner(s)</b>	<b>FIR No.</b>	<b>Date</b>	<b>Section(s)</b>	<b>Police Station</b>	<b>District</b>
<b>Karan Verma</b>	<b>61</b>	<b>24.03.2024</b>	<b>120-B, 406, 420, 468, 471, 201 of IPC &amp; Section 24 of Emigration Act, 1983</b>	<b>Raipur Rani</b>	<b>Panchkula</b>

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that as per the allegations levelled in the FIR, the petitioner has deposited an amount of Rs.5 lacs in total and out of the said amount, Rs.2 lacs have been

2025:PHHC:020034



deposited in the account of the complainant. He further submits that all the offences, for which the petitioner has been booked, are triable by the Court of learned Magistrate and after completion of investigation, the final report has been submitted but charges are yet to be framed. It is further submitted that the petitioner is inside the jail since 29.04.2024 and his longer custody would not serve any purpose to the prosecution itself once the charges are yet to be framed and thereafter, the trial is to commence and in all probability, the proceedings are not likely to be culminated very soon. Therefore, in such circumstances, liberty of any person cannot be curtailed for an indefinite period until the offenses are proved against him. Thus, prays for grant of regular bail.

3. On the other hand, while opposing the present bail application, learned State counsel submits that the complainant has been duped by the accused persons for a sum of Rs.5 lacs and such type of incidents are increasing day by day in the society where the poor and illiterate people are being induced by such fraudsters. He further submits that four other cases have also been registered against the petitioner.

4. Upon this, learned counsel for the petitioner responds that in all those cases, the petitioner is on bail and in none of those cases, he has been convicted.

5. After hearing learned counsel for the parties and perusing the relevant material on record with their able assistance, noticing the submissions made by learned counsel for the petitioner and also that the proceedings are going on a very slow pace as the charges are yet to be

2025:PHHC:020034



framed, whereas the petitioner is in incarceration since 29.04.2024, I deem it appropriate to grant the concession of bail to the petitioner.

6. Consequently, prayer made in the present petition is **allowed**. Petitioner is ordered to be released on bail, subject to his furnishing bail/surety bonds to the satisfaction of the learned trial Court/ Chief Judicial Magistrate/ Illaqa Magistrate/ Duty Magistrate concerned, if not required in any other case.

7. Needless to observe that the petitioner shall not extend any threat and shall not influence any prosecution witness in any manner directly or indirectly.

8. The observation made hereinabove shall not be construed as an expression of opinion on the facts of the case and the Trial Court is expected to decide the case on the basis of complete evidence available on record.

9. Petition stands disposed of.

**February 28, 2025**  
**vinod\***

**(Sanjay Vashisth)**  
**Judge**

Whether Speaking/Reasoned: **YES/NO**  
Whether Reportable: **YES/NO**